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1. Introduction

The interest rate models discussed in our lectures are widely used for pricing instruments. But there exists two limitations:

1. They involve only one factor (i.e., one source of uncertainty).
2. They do not give the user complete freedom in choosing the volatility structure.

In recent years there have been a number of attempts to extend the models introduced in our lectures so that they involve two or more factors. One of the examples is Two-factor Hull-White Model.

In our report we will first restate the One-factor Hull-White Model, and then introduce the Two-factor Hull-White Model which builds on that. Finally we will show the comparison by graphs.
2. The One-Factor Hull-White Model

Hull-White model is a generalization of the Vasicek model with time dependent parameters:

\[ dr = (\theta(t) - a(t)r)dt + \sigma(t)dV(t) \]

where \( \theta(t) \) is deterministic function of time. The parameters \( a \) and \( \sigma \) is calibrated against the volatility and \( \theta(t) \) is calibrated against the bond prices, \( \{p(0,T) : T \geq 0\} \) to observed curve \( \{p^*(0,T) : T \geq 0\} \).

We recall the term structure equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial F_T}{\partial t} + \mu(t,r) - \lambda(t,r)\sigma(t) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 F_T}{\partial r^2} &= r(t)F_T \\
F(r,T,T) &= 1
\end{align*}
\]

the drift is given by \( \mu(t,r) - \lambda(t,r)\sigma(t) \). If we compare this drift terms we see that the parameters in the Hull-White model include the market price of risk and the volatility

\[ \mu(t,r) - \lambda(t,r)\sigma(t) = \theta(t) - a(t)r(t) \]

This is why we say parameters \( a \) and \( \sigma \) is calibrated against the volatility.

The model has an affine term structure

\[ p(t,T) = F(r(t),t,T) = e^{A(t,T) - B(t,T)r} \]

This model can be simplified if we let \( a \) be a constant. We get equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} - aB &= -1 \\
B(T,T) &= 1
\end{align*}
\]

Solve them, we obtain,

\[ B(t,T) = \frac{1}{a} \left(1 - e^{-a(T-t)}\right) \]

Insert this in the equation of A, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - \theta(t)B(t,T) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 B^2(t,T) &= 0 \\
A(T,T) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]

\[ A(t,T) = \int_t^T \left\{ \frac{\sigma^2}{2} B^2(s,T) - \theta(t)B(s,T) \right\} ds \]
Now we will calibrate the model to the observed initial yield curve. The initial forward rate is given by

\[
f^*(0, T) = B_t(0, T)r(0) - A_t(0, T) = r(0)e^{-at} + \int_0^T \theta(s)e^{-\alpha(T-s)}ds - \frac{\sigma^2}{2a^2}(1 - e^{-aT})^2
\]

In order to solve this, we let

\[
f^*(0, T) = x(T) + g(T)
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= -ax(t) + \theta(t) \\
x(0) &= r(0)
\end{align*}
\]

the solution is

\[
x(t) = r(0)e^{-at} + \int_0^T \theta(s)e^{-\alpha(T-s)}ds
\]

and

\[
g(T) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2a^2}(1 - e^{-at})^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{2a^2} B^2(0, T)
\]

then we get

\[
\theta = \dot{x}(T) + ax(T) = f_x^*(0, T) - \dot{g}(T) + ax(T)
\]

\[
= f_x^*(0, T) - \dot{g}(T) + a\{f^*(0, T) - g(T)\}
\]

With the function \(\theta(T)\), the fixed values of \(a\) and \(\sigma\) and using martingale measure, the bond price is given by

\[
p(t, T) = \frac{p^*(0, T)}{p^*(0, t)} \exp\left\{B(t, T)f^*(0, t) - \sigma^2 B^2(t, T)(1 - e^{-2at}) - B(t, T)r(t)\right\}
\]

The spot rate volatility is the same as in the Vasicek model.

\[
\sigma_{spot} = \frac{\sigma}{a(T-t)}(1 - e^{-a(T-t)})
\]
3. The Two-Factor Hull-White Model

As explained in Hull-White One factor model, the risk-neutral process for the short rate, \( r \), is

\[
df(r) = \left[ \theta(t) + u - af(r) \right]dt + \sigma_1 dz_1
\]

where \( u \) has an initial value of zero and follows the process

\[
du = -budt + \sigma_2 dz_2
\]

The parameter \( \theta(t) \) is a deterministic function of time. The stochastic variable \( u \) is a component of the reversion level of \( r \) and itself reverts to a level of zero at rate \( b \). The parameters \( a, b, \sigma_1, \) and \( \sigma_2 \) are constants and \( dz_1 \) and \( dz_2 \) are Wiener processes with instantaneous correlation \( \rho \).

This model provides a richer pattern of term structure movements and a richer pattern of volatility structures than the one-factor model. For example, when \( f(r) = r \), \( a = 1, \ b = 0.1, \ \sigma_1 = 0.01, \ \sigma_2 = 0.0165, \) and \( \rho = 0.6 \) the model exhibits, at all times, a “humped” volatility structure similar to that observed in the market.

When \( f(r) = r \) the model is analytically tractable. The price at time \( t \) of a zero-coupon bond that provides a payoff of $1 at time \( T \) is

\[
P(t,T) = A(t,T) \exp\left[ -B(t,T)r - C(t,T)u \right]
\]

where

\[
B(t,T) = \frac{1}{a} \left[ 1 - e^{-a(T-t)} \right]
\]

\[
C(t,T) = \frac{1}{a(a-b)} e^{-a(T-t)} - \frac{1}{b(a-b)} e^{-b(T-t)} + \frac{1}{ab}
\]

and \( A(t,T) \) is as given in the following.

The prices, \( c \) and \( p \), at time zero of European call and put options on a zero-coupon bond are given by

\[
c = LP(0,s)N(h) - KP(0,T)N(h-\sigma_p)
\]

\[
p = KP(0,T)N(-h-\sigma_p) - LP(0,s)N(-h)
\]

where \( T \) is the maturity of the option, \( s \) is the maturity of the bond, \( K \) is the strike price, \( L \) is the bond’s principal.
\[ h = \frac{1}{\sigma_p} \ln \left( \frac{L(0,s)}{P(0,T)K} \right) + \frac{\sigma_p}{2} \]

and \( \sigma_p \) is as given in the following.

**The \( A(t,T), \sigma_p, \text{and } \theta(t) \) Functions in the Two-factor Hull-White Model**

In this part, we provide some of the analytic results for the two-factor Hull-White model when \( f(r) = r \).

The \( A(t,T) \) function is

\[ \ln A(t,T) = \ln \left( \frac{P(0,T)}{P(0,t)} \right) + B(t,T)F(0,t) - \eta \]

where

\[ \eta = \frac{\sigma_1^2}{4a} \left( 1 - e^{-2at} \right) B(t,T)^2 - \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 [B(0,t)C(0,t)B(t,T) + \gamma_4 - \gamma_2] \]

\[ - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 [C(0,t)^2 B(t,T) + \gamma_6 - \gamma_5] \]

\[ \gamma_1 = \frac{e^{-(a+b)t} \left( e^{(a+b)t} - 1 \right)}{(a+b)(a-b)} - \frac{e^{-2at} \left( e^{2at} - 1 \right)}{2a(a-b)} \]

\[ \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{ab} \left( \gamma_1 + C(t,T) - C(0,T) + \frac{1}{2} B(t,T)^2 - \frac{1}{2} B(0,T)^2 + \frac{t}{a} - \frac{e^{-a(T-t)} - e^{-at}}{a^2} \right) \]

\[ \gamma_3 = -\frac{e^{-(a+b)t} - 1}{(a-b)(a+b)} + \frac{e^{-2at} - 1}{2a(a-b)} \]

\[ \gamma_4 = \frac{1}{ab} \left( \gamma_3 - C(0,t) - \frac{1}{2} B(0,t)^2 + \frac{t}{a} + \frac{e^{-at} - 1}{a^2} \right) \]

\[ \gamma_5 = \frac{1}{b} \left[ \frac{1}{2} C(t,T)^2 - \frac{1}{2} C(0,T)^2 + \gamma_2 \right] \]

\[ \gamma_6 = \frac{1}{b} \left[ \gamma_4 - \frac{1}{2} C(0,t)^2 \right] \]
where $B(t,T)$ and $C(t,T)$ functions are as we mentioned before and $F(t,T)$ is the instantaneous forward rate at time $t$ for maturity $T$.

The volatility function, $\sigma_p$, is

$$\sigma_p^2 = \int_0^T \left\{ \frac{\sigma_1^2 [B(t,T) - B(t,\tau)]^2 + \sigma_2^2 [C(t,T) - C(t,\tau)]^2}{2} + 2\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 [B(t,T) - B(t,\tau)][C(t,T) - C(t,\tau)] \right\} d\tau$$

This shows that $\sigma_p^2$ has three components. Define

$$U = \frac{1}{a(a-b)} (e^{-at} - e^{-at})$$

$$V = \frac{1}{b(a-b)} (e^{-bt} - e^{-bt})$$

The first component of $\sigma_p^2$ is

$$\frac{\sigma_1^2}{2a} B(t,T)^2 (1 - e^{-2at})$$

The second is

$$\frac{\sigma_2^2}{2a} \left( \frac{U^2}{2a} (e^{2at} - 1) + \frac{V^2}{2b} (e^{2bt} - 1) - 2 \frac{UV}{a+b} (e^{(a+b)t} - 1) \right)$$

The third is

$$\frac{2\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2}{a} (e^{-at} - e^{-at})(\frac{U}{2a} (e^{2at} - 1) - \frac{V}{a+b} (e^{(a+b)t} - 1))$$

Finally, the $\theta(t)$ function is

$$\theta(t) = F_t(0,t) + aF_t(0,t) + \phi_t(0,t) + a\phi_t(0,t)$$

where the subscript denotes a partial derivative and

$$\phi(t,T) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 B(t,T)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 C(t,T)^2 + \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 B(t,T)C(t,T)$$
4. Graphs

The "humped" volatility structure observed in the market

Volatility structure in one factor Hull-White model

Volatility structure in two factor Hull-White model
5. Conclusion

The two-factor model provides a richer pattern of term structure movements and a richer pattern of volatility structures than the one-factor model.

This model also exhibits, at all times, a “humped” volatility structure similar to that observed in the market. The correlation structure implied by the model is also plausible.

There exist other two-factor models like the Yield-factor Model published by Duffie and Kan which we can study later.
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