

Pricing Swaptions Under the LIBOR Market Model of Interest Rates With Local-Stochastic Volatility Models*

Kenichiro Shiraya

Graduate School of Economics, the University of Tokyo, Mizuho-DL Financial Technology Co., Ltd.

Akihiko Takahashi

Graduate School of Economics, the University of Tokyo

Akira Yamazaki

Faculty of Business Administration, Hosei University,
e-mail: akira2yamazaki@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper presents a new approximation formula for pricing swaptions and caps/floors under the LIBOR market model of interest rates (LMM) with the local and affine-type stochastic volatility.

In particular, two approximation methods are applied in pricing, one of which is so called “drift-freezing” that fixes parts of the underlying stochastic processes at their initial values. Another approximation is based on an asymptotic expansion approach. An advantage of our method is that those approximations can be applied in a unified manner to a general class of local-stochastic volatility models of interest rates.

To demonstrate effectiveness of our method, the paper takes CEV-Heston LMM and Quadratic-Heston LMM as examples; it confirms sufficient flexibility of the models for calibration in a caplet market and enough accuracies of the approximation method for numerical evaluation of swaption values under the models.

*This research is supported by CARF (Center for Advanced Research in Finance) and the global COE program “The research and training center for new development in mathematics.” All the contents expressed in this research are solely those of the authors and do not represent the view of Mizuho-DL Financial Technology Co., Ltd. or any other institutions. The authors are not responsible or liable in any manner for any losses and/or damages caused by the use of any contents in this research.

Keywords

Asymptotic Expansion, Local Volatility, Affine-type Stochastic Volatility, LIBOR Market Model, Approximation Formula

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 60H35, 62E20, 91G20, 91G30

1 Introduction

This paper proposes a new analytical approximation method for pricing swaptions under the LIBOR market model of interest rates (LMM) with the local and affine-type stochastic volatility. Especially, our scheme is general enough to be applied in a unified way to a general class of local-stochastic volatility models of interest rates, which is distinct from other existing methods.

After the epoch making papers such as Brace, Gatarek and Musiela (1997) and Jamshidian (1997), LMM with deterministic volatilities has become a standard model in interest rate derivative markets. Thanks to LMM, practitioners can not only obtain consistent prices of ATM caps/floors and exotic interest rate derivatives, but also hedge the exotic derivatives by using ATM caps/floors as Vega hedging tools. Moreover, by joint calibration to cap/floor and swaption markets, traders are able to execute relative value trading between ATM caps/floors and ATM swaptions.

Thereafter, many researchers and practitioners have been trying to develop extended LMMs in order to calibrate them to volatility smiles/skews

that are observed in cap/floor and swaption markets. If an extended LMM model can be calibrated to volatility smiles/skews perfectly, exotic derivatives are evaluated consistently with market prices of caps/floors and hedged by caps/floors at different strikes as hedging tools. Many papers focusing on extended LMMs have been published; for instance, LMM with jumps (Glasserman and Kou (2003)), LMM with local volatilities (Andersen and Andreasen (2000)), and LMM with stochastic volatilities (Andersen and Brotherton-Ratcliffe (2001), Piterbarg (2003), Wu and Zhang (2006)).

More recently, SABR-LMM developed by Labordere (2007), Rebonato and White (2007), Rebonato (2007), Hagan and Lesniewski (2008), Mercurio and Morini (2009), and Rebonato, et al. (2009) comes under the spotlight among practitioners. While the original SABR proposed by Hagan, et al. (2002) is a local-stochastic volatility model without the term structure of interest rates, SABR-LMM is a unified model of LMM and SABR. SABR-LMM is gradually getting popularity in practice since the original SABR has been an industry standard for interpolating and extrapolating the prices of plain-vanilla caps/floors and swaptions, and with so called *freezing techniques*, the well-known Hagan's formula can be applied to pricing swaptions as well as caps/floors.

Next, let us recall some features of existing researches for SABR-LMM: The first one is on its volatility modeling. In SABR-LMM, the volatility process V is given by

$$dV(t) = vV(t)dW_t^Q,$$

where W^Q is a Brownian motion under the spot measure Q and v is constant. However, the process might not be suitable for modeling volatility dynamics because many empirical studies reported that the observed volatility dynamics has mean-reverting property. For example, Rebonato, et al. (2009) pointed out that for pricing exotic derivatives through Monte Carlo simulations, there are some problems for numerical convergence and stability due to the diffusion process of the SABR volatility.

The second one is on the freezing techniques used for derivation of approximation formulas under SABR-LMM. In order to keep the SABR framework even after the change of a numéraire, not only well-known freezing techniques such as "drift-freezing", but also some peculiar freezing techniques are needed. For example, Mercurio and Morini (2009) starts with the volatility process under the forward measure Q^k :

$$dV(t) = -v\mu_0(\gamma(t), k; V)V^2(t)dt + vV(t)dW_t^{Q^k},$$

where W^{Q^k} is a Brownian motion under the forward measure Q^k . Then, for the application of the Hagan's analytical pricing formula, it applies a new freezing method such that $V^2(t)$ in the drift coefficient is changed to $V(0)V(t)$. That is, the volatility process is approximated as

$$dV(t) = -v\mu_0(\gamma(t), k; V)V(0)V(t)dt + vV(t)dW_t^{Q^k}.$$

The third one is related to the flexibility of the existing methods. It seems not easy for the same or similar methods to be applied to extensions or modifications of SABR-LMM; some other special ideas seems necessary for the applications to extended or modified models. For example, many existing works highly rely on the Hagan's SABR formula. On the other hand, the Hagan's formula cannot be directly applied to other types of local-stochastic models such as CEV-Heston LMM and Quadratic-Heston LMM. Also, Labordere (2007) proposed the heat kernel expansion approach to

develop the approximation formula for pricing swaptions under SABR-LMM. However, it seems not easy for this approach to be applied except to the one-dimensional stochastic volatility model.

Comparing with the existing models and approximation techniques, our extended LMMs and approximation scheme have the following features:

1. **Volatility Modeling:** appropriate volatility processes with a mean-reverting property are introduced in the model.
2. **Model Flexibility:** all parameters are time-dependent, and multi-dimensional stochastic volatility processes can be applied.
3. **Generality of Approximation Techniques:** a general approximation scheme by an asymptotic expansion with standard freezing techniques is proposed for pricing swaptions and caps/floors; it can be applied to a broad class of the underlying models in a unified manner.
4. **Analytical Tractability:** the same approximation formulas except concrete specifications of the coefficients can be applied to different models, which is very useful for testing various models, for example in calibration.

The organization of the paper is as follows; the next section describes the basic setup and LMM with the local and affine-type stochastic volatility. It also presents an approximation of swap rate processes. After Section 3 briefly explains the framework of an asymptotic expansion method, Section 4 applies the method to deriving an approximation formula for swaption prices. Section 5 gives numerical examples. Section 6 concludes. Appendix lists up the conditional expectation formulas used in the approximation.

2 LIBOR Market Model with Local and Affine-type Stochastic Volatility

This section introduces a LIBOR market model (LMM) of interest rates with with the local and affine-type stochastic volatility after briefly describing basics on the framework of LMM. Then, it discusses on the changes of numéraires among the equivalent martingale measure (EMM) to the spot, forward and swap measures as well as on the swap rate processes. Moreover, it shows that an appropriate approximation makes LMM with the local and affine-type stochastic volatility included in the same class as before after the changes of measure.

2.1 Basic Setup

This subsection defines basic concepts such as tenor structures, discount bond prices, the money market account (MMA) and forward LIBOR rates. First a tenor structure is given by a finite set of dates:

$$0 = T_0 < T_1 < \dots < T_N,$$

where T_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, N$) are pre-specified dates. $P_j(t)$ denotes the price of the discount bond with maturity T_j at time t , where $P_j(T_j) = 1$ and $P_j(t) = 0$ for $t \in (T_j, T_N]$.

The forward LIBOR rate at time $t \leq T_j$ with the term $[T_{j-1}, T_j]$ is defined as

$$F_j(t) = \frac{1}{\delta_j} \left(\frac{P_{j-1}(t)}{P_j(t)} - 1 \right), \quad \text{for any } j = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (1)$$

where $\delta_j := T_j - T_{j-1}$.

On the other hand, $P_j(T_k)$ is expressed by the forward LIBOR as

$$P_j(T_k) = \prod_{i=k}^j \frac{1}{1 + \delta_i F_i(T_k)}. \quad (2)$$

The money market account (MMA)'s price $B_d(t)$ is defined as

$$B_d(t) = \frac{P_{\gamma(t)}(t)}{\prod_{j=1}^{\gamma(t)} P_j(T_{j-1})} = P_{\gamma(t)}(t) \prod_{j=1}^{\gamma(t)} \{1 + \delta_j F_j(T_{j-1})\}, \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma(t) = \min\{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\} : T_i \geq t\}$.

2.2 LMM with Affine-type Local-Stochastic Volatility Model

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T_N]}, Q)$ denote a complete probability space satisfying the usual conditions where Q is the spot measure; it stands for uncertainty of the market.

Under the spot measure Q , it is assumed that forward LIBOR $F_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ follows a SDE having a unique strong solution;

$$\begin{aligned} dF_j(t) &= \mu_j^Q dt + \phi(t, F_j(t)) \sigma_j(t)' \Sigma(t, V(t)) dW_t^{Q,1} \\ F_j(0) &= f_j \in (0, \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $W_t^{Q,1}$ is a D -dimensional Brownian motion under Q , each element of D -dimensional stochastic volatility process $V = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_D)'$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} dV_d(t) &= \{\alpha_{1d}(t) + \alpha_{2d}(t)' V(t)\} dt + \sum_{i=1}^2 \theta_{di}(t)' \Sigma(t, V(t)) dW_t^{Q,i}, \\ V_d(0) &= 1, \quad d = 1, 2, \dots, D, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$W^Q = (W^{Q,1}, W^{Q,2})'$ is a $2D$ -dimensional Brownian motion under Q ($W^{Q,2}$ is a D -dimensional Brownian motion), and μ_j^Q is an appropriate drift term¹ of F_j under Q . Here, x' denotes transpose of vector x .

The matrix $\Sigma(t, x) : [0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R}^D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{D \times D}$ is assumed to be a diagonal matrix such that its diagonal elements are given by

$$\Sigma_{dd}(t, x) := \sqrt{\beta_{1d}(t) + \beta_{2d}(t)' x}, \quad d = 1, 2, \dots, D,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{1d}(t) &: [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbf{R} \\ \beta_{2d}(t) &: [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbf{R}^D \\ \beta_{1d}(t) + \beta_{2d}(t)' x &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

$\phi(t, x) : [0, \infty) \times \mathbf{R} \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ represents a local volatility function.

In addition to $\beta_{jd}(t), j = 1, 2$, all the other coefficients in the processes are assumed to be deterministic functions of the time parameter:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_j(t) &: [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbf{R}^D \\ \alpha_{1d}(t) &: [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbf{R} \\ \alpha_{2d}(t) &: [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbf{R}^D \\ \theta_{dl}(t) &: [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbf{R}^D, \quad l = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, our extended LMM has a D -dimensional and mean-reverting stochastic volatility combined with a local volatility, and this model is equipped with time-dependent parameters.

Next, we apply the method of *the change of a numéraire* to our setting. First, we recall the measure-change from the spot measure Q to a *forward measure*: Under the forward measure Q^k where the numéraire is the discount bond $P_k(t)$, $W^k = (W^{k,1}, W^{k,2})'$ is a $2D$ -dimensional Brownian motion given as

$$W_t^{k,1} = W_t^{Q,1} + \int_0^t \Sigma(s, V_s) \mu(s, \gamma(s), k) ds, \quad (6)$$

and

$$W_t^{k,2} = W_t^{Q,2}, \quad (7)$$

where $\mu(t, \gamma(t), k)$ is a \mathbf{R}^D -valued process defined by

$$\mu(t, \gamma(t), k) := \sum_{i=\gamma(t)+1}^k \frac{\delta_i \phi(t, F_i(t))}{1 + \delta_i F_i(t)} \sigma_i(t). \quad (8)$$

Next, we apply the measure-change from the spot measure Q to an *annuity measure*: Under the annuity measure $Q^{(a,b)}$ where the numéraire is the annuity $\mathcal{N}^{(a,b)}(t) = \sum_{i=a+1}^b \delta_i P_i(t)$, $W^{(a,b)} = (W^{(a,b),1}, W^{(a,b),2})'$ is a $2D$ -dimensional Brownian motion given as

$$W_t^{(a,b),1} = W_t^{Q,1} + \int_0^t \Sigma(s, V_s) \mu^{(a,b)}(s, \gamma(s)) ds, \quad (9)$$

and

$$W_t^{(a,b),2} = W_t^{Q,2}. \quad (10)$$

Here, a \mathbf{R}^D -valued process $\mu^{(a,b)}(t, \gamma(t))$ is defined by

$$\mu^{(a,b)}(t, \gamma(t)) := \sum_{k=a+1}^b w_k^{(a,b)}(t) \mu(t, \gamma(t), k), \quad (11)$$

$$w_k^{(a,b)}(t) := \frac{\delta_k P_k(t)}{\sum_{i=a+1}^b \delta_i P_i(t)}. \quad (12)$$

We will derive a swap rate dynamics under the annuity measure. Note first that a time- t forward swap rate $S_{a,b}(t)$ with effective date T_a and terminate date T_b is given by

$$S_{a,b}(t) = \sum_{j=a+1}^b \frac{\delta_j P_j(t)}{\sum_{i=a+1}^b \delta_i P_i(t)} F_j(t) = \sum_{j=a+1}^b w_j^{(a,b)}(t) F_j(t), \quad (13)$$

Thus, under the annuity measure $Q^{(a,b)}$ its dynamics follows a stochastic differential equation (SDE):

$$dS_{a,b}(t) = \phi(t, S_{a,b}(t)) \sum_{j=a+1}^b \lambda_j^{(a,b)}(t) \sigma_j(t)' \Sigma(t, V(t)) dW_t^{(a,b),1}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\lambda_j^{(a,b)}(t) := \frac{\partial S_{a,b}(t)}{\partial F_j(t)} \frac{\phi(t, F_j(t))}{\phi(t, S_{a,b}(t))}, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S_{a,b}(t)}{\partial F_j(t)} &= w_j^{(a,b)}(t) + \frac{\delta_j}{1 + \delta_j F_j(t)} \left[\sum_{l=a}^{j-1} w_l^{(a,b)}(t) \{F_l(t) - S_{a,b}(t)\} \right], \\ a + 1 &\leq j \leq b. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Also, under the annuity measure $Q^{(a,b)}$, each element of stochastic volatility $V = (V_1, V_2, \dots, V_D)$ is given by

$$dV_d(t) = \{\eta_{1d}^{(a,b)}(t) + \eta_{2d}^{(a,b)}(t)V(t)\}dt + \sum_{l=1}^2 \theta_{dl}(t)' \Sigma(t, V(t)) dW_t^{(a,b),l}, \quad (17)$$

$$V_d(0) = 1, \quad d = 1, 2, \dots, D,$$

where

$$\eta_{1d}^{(a,b)}(t) := \alpha_{1d}(t) - [\theta_{d1}(t)' \mu^{(a,b)}(t, \gamma(t))] \beta_{1d}(t) \quad (18)$$

$$\eta_{2d}^{(a,b)}(t) := \alpha_{2d}(t) - [\theta_{d1}(t)' \mu^{(a,b)}(t, \gamma(t))] \beta_{2d}(t). \quad (19)$$

Although an asymptotic expansion technique introduced in the next section can be directly applied to the above equations for an approximation of swaption prices, this paper will derive a simpler analytical approximation formula. Hence, before the application of the asymptotic expansion method, the so called *freezing technique* is used for the swap rate dynamics. That is, the variation of $\lambda_j^{(a,b)}(t)$ is so small that the standard freezing technique is applied to $\lambda_j(t)$ such that

$$\lambda_j^{(a,b)}(t) \approx \lambda_j^{(a,b),0}(t) := \frac{\partial S_{a,b}(0)}{\partial F_j(0)} \frac{\phi(t, F_j(0))}{\phi(t, S_{a,b}(0))}. \quad (20)$$

More precisely, $\lambda_j^{(a,b)}(t)$ is approximated as

$$\lambda_j^{(a,b),0}(t) = \left\{ w_j^{(a,b)}(0) + \frac{\delta_j}{1 + \delta_j F_j(0)} \left[\sum_{l=a}^{j-1} w_l^{(a,b)}(0) \{F_l(0) - S_{a,b}(0)\} \right] \right\} \times \frac{\phi(t, F_j(0))}{\phi(t, S_{a,b}(0))}. \quad (21)$$

Therefore, the approximated swap rate process is obtained as

$$dS_{a,b}(t) \approx \phi(t, S_{a,b}(t)) \bar{\sigma}^{(a,b)}(t)' \Sigma(t, V(t)) dW_t^{(a,b),1}, \quad (22)$$

where $\bar{\sigma}^{(a,b)}(t)$ is a \mathbf{R}^D -valued deterministic process given by

$$\bar{\sigma}^{(a,b)}(t) := \sum_{j=a+1}^b \lambda_j^{(a,b),0}(t) \sigma_j(t). \quad (23)$$

Moreover, the standard freezing technique is also applied to the stochastic volatility process. That is, set

$$\mu^{(a,b)}(t, \gamma(t)) \approx \mu_0^{(a,b)}(t, \gamma(t)) = \sum_{k=a+1}^b w_k^{(a,b)}(0) \sum_{i=\gamma(t)+1}^k \frac{\delta_i \phi(t, F_i(0))}{1 + \delta_i F_i(0)} \sigma_i(t), \quad (24)$$

and hence, $\mu_0^{(a,b)}(t, \gamma(t))$ becomes a \mathbf{R}^D -valued deterministic process. Then, the approximated stochastic volatility process is obtained as

$$dV_d(t) \approx \{\eta_{1d}(t) + \eta_{2d}(t)V(t)\}dt + \sum_{l=1}^2 \theta_{dl}(t)' \Sigma(t, V(t)) dW_t^{(a,b),l}, \quad (25)$$

where $\eta_{jd}(t)$, $j = 1, 2$ also become deterministic processes:

$$\eta_{1d}(t) := \alpha_{1d}(t) - [\theta_{d1}(t)' \mu_0^{(a,b)}(t, \gamma(t))] \beta_{1d}(t) \quad (26)$$

$$\eta_{2d}(t) := \alpha_{2d}(t) - [\theta_{d1}(t)' \mu_0^{(a,b)}(t, \gamma(t))] \beta_{2d}(t). \quad (27)$$

In sum, the approximated swap rate process is re-written as follows:

$$dS_{a,b}(t) = \phi(t, S_{a,b}(t)) \bar{\sigma}^{(a,b)}(t)' \Sigma(t, V(t)) dW_t^{(a,b),1}, \quad (28)$$

$S_{a,b}(0)$ given,

$$dV_d(t) = \{\eta_{1d}(t) + \eta_{2d}(t)V(t)\}dt + \sum_{l=1}^2 \theta_{dl}(t)' \Sigma(t, V(t)) dW_t^{(a,b),l}, \quad (29)$$

$$V_d(0) = 1, \quad d = 1, 2, \dots, D.$$

In particular, when $V(t)$ is one dimensional, we are able to re-express the forward swap rate process by using a one-dimensional Brownian motion W^1 independent of $W^{(a,b),2}$ and a one-dimensional deterministic process $\sigma^{a,b}(t)$ as

$$dS_{a,b}(t) = \phi(t, S_{a,b}(t)) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V(t)} \sigma^{(a,b)}(t) dW_t^1 \quad (30)$$

$$dV(t) = \{\eta_1(t) + \eta_2(t)V(t)\}dt + \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V(t)} [\theta_1(t) dW_t^1 + \theta_2(t) dW_t^2], \quad (31)$$

where

$$W_t^1 = \frac{1}{\|\bar{\sigma}^{(a,b)}(t)\|^2} \int_0^t \bar{\sigma}^{(a,b)}(t)' dW_t^{(a,b),1}, \quad (32)$$

$$W_t^2 = W_t^{(a,b),2}, \quad (33)$$

$$\sigma^{(a,b)}(t) = \|\bar{\sigma}^{(a,b)}(t)\|. \quad (34)$$

3 Asymptotic Expansion Method in a General Markovian Setting

This section briefly describes an asymptotic expansion method in a general Markovian setting, which will be applied to the derivation of swaption prices under the approximated swap rate process above in the next section. See Takahashi (1999), Kunitomo and Takahashi (2003) and references therein for the detail of the theory and applications of the method from finance perspective. Also, see Takahashi, et al. (2009) for the detail of its computational aspect.

Let (Z, P) be the r -dimensional Wiener space. We consider a d -dimensional diffusion process $X_t^{(\epsilon)} = (X_t^{(\epsilon),1}, \dots, X_t^{(\epsilon),d})$ which is the solution to the following stochastic differential equation:

$$dX_t^{(\epsilon)} = V_0(X_t^{(\epsilon)}) dt + \epsilon V(X_t^{(\epsilon)}) dZ_t; \quad X_0^{(\epsilon)} = x_0, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (35)$$

where $Z = (Z^1, \dots, Z^m)$ is a m -dimensional Brownian motion and $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ is a known parameter. Also, $V_0 : \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R}^d$, $V : \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R}^d \otimes \mathbf{R}^m$ satisfy some regularity conditions (e.g. V_0 and V are smooth functions with bounded derivatives of all orders.)

Next, suppose that a function $g : \mathbf{R}^d \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ to be smooth and all derivatives have polynomial growth orders. Then, a smooth Wiener functional $g(X_T^{(\epsilon)})$ has its asymptotic expansion;

$$g(X_T^{(\epsilon)}) = g_{0T} + \epsilon g_{1T} + \epsilon^2 g_{2T} + \epsilon^3 g_{3T} + o(\epsilon^3). \quad (36)$$

in L^p for every $p > 1$ (or in \mathbf{D}^∞) as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$. The coefficients in the expansion $g_{nT} \in \mathbf{D}^\infty$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) can be obtained by Taylor's formula and represented based on

multiple Wiener-It δ integrals. Here, \mathbf{D}^∞ denotes the set of smooth Wiener functionals. See chapter V of Ikeda and Watanabe (1989) for the detail.

In particular, let $D_t = \frac{\partial X_t^{(\epsilon)}}{\partial \epsilon} |_{\epsilon=0}$, $E_t = \frac{\partial^2 X_t^{(\epsilon)}}{\partial \epsilon^2} |_{\epsilon=0}$ and $F_t = \frac{\partial^3 X_t^{(\epsilon)}}{\partial \epsilon^3} |_{\epsilon=0}$. Then, g_{0T} , g_{1T} , g_{2T} and g_{3T} are expressed as follows:

$$g_{0T} = g(X_T^{(0)}), \quad g_{1T} = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i g(X_T^{(0)}) D_T^i, \quad (37)$$

$$g_{2T} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i \partial_j g(X_T^{(0)}) D_T^i D_T^j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i g(X_T^{(0)}) E_T^i, \quad (38)$$

$$g_{3T} = \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i,j,k=1}^d \partial_i \partial_j \partial_k g(X_T^{(0)}) D_T^i D_T^j D_T^k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d \partial_i \partial_j g(X_T^{(0)}) E_T^i D_T^j + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_i g(X_T^{(0)}) F_T^i, \quad (39)$$

where D_i^j , E_i^j and F_i^j , ($i = 1, \dots, d$) denote the i -th element of D_i , E_i and F_i , respectively. D_i , E_i and F_i are given by

$$D_t = \int_0^t Y_t Y_u^{-1} V(X_u^{(0)}) dZ_u, \quad (40)$$

$$E_t = \int_0^t Y_t Y_u^{-1} \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^d \partial_j \partial_k V_0(X_u^{(0)}) D_u^j D_u^k du + 2 \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j V(X_u^{(0)}) D_u^j dZ_u \right), \quad (41)$$

$$F_t = \int_0^t Y_t Y_u^{-1} \left(\sum_{j,k,l=1}^d \partial_j \partial_k \partial_l V_0(X_u^{(0)}, 0) D_u^j D_u^k D_u^l du + 3 \sum_{j,k=1}^d \partial_j \partial_k V_0(X_u^{(0)}, 0) E_u^j D_u^k du + 3 \sum_{j,k=1}^d \partial_j \partial_k V(X_u^{(0)}) D_u^j D_u^k dZ_u + 3 \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j V(X_u^{(0)}) E_u^j dZ_u \right). \quad (42)$$

Here, Y is the solution to the following ordinary differential equation:

$$dY_t = \partial V_0(X_t^{(0)}) Y_t dt; \quad Y_0 = I_d,$$

where ∂V_0 is a $d \times d$ matrix whose (j, k) element is given by $\partial_k V_0^j$. ($\partial_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$, V_0^j denotes the j -th component of V_0). Also, I_d represents the $d \times d$ identity matrix.

Next, normalize $g(X_T^{(\epsilon)})$ to

$$G^{(\epsilon)} = \frac{g(X_T^{(\epsilon)}) - g_{0T}}{\epsilon}. \quad (43)$$

Moreover, let

$$a_t = a_t^{(0)} = (\partial g(X_T^{(0)}))' [Y_T Y_t^{-1} V(X_t^{(0)})],$$

and make an assumption:

$$\text{(Assumption 1)} \quad \Sigma_T = \int_0^T a_t a_t' dt > 0. \quad (44)$$

Note that Σ_T is the variance of a random variable g_{1T} following a normal distribution. Thus, (Assumption 1) means that the distribution of g_{1T} does not degenerate.

Then, $\psi_{G^{(\epsilon)}}(\xi)$, the characteristic function of $G^{(\epsilon)}$ is approximated as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{G^{(\epsilon)}}(\xi) &= \mathbf{E}[\exp(i\xi G^{(\epsilon)})] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[\exp(i\xi g_{1T})] + \epsilon(i\xi) \mathbf{E}[\exp(i\xi g_{1T}) g_{2T}] \\ &\quad + \epsilon^2(i\xi) \mathbf{E}[\exp(i\xi g_{1T}) g_{3T}] + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} (i\xi)^2 \mathbf{E}[\exp(i\xi g_{1T}) g_{2T}^2] + o(\epsilon^2) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{(i\xi)^2 \Sigma_T}{2}\right) + \epsilon(i\xi) \mathbf{E}[\exp(i\xi g_{1T}) \mathbf{E}[g_{2T} | g_{1T}]] \\ &\quad + \epsilon^2(i\xi) \mathbf{E}[\exp(i\xi g_{1T}) \mathbf{E}[g_{3T} | g_{1T}]] \\ &\quad + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} (i\xi)^2 \mathbf{E}[\exp(i\xi g_{1T}) \mathbf{E}[g_{2T}^2 | g_{1T}]] + o(\epsilon^2), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $\mathbf{E}[g_{2T} | g_{1T}]$, $\mathbf{E}[g_{2T}^2 | g_{1T}]$ and $\mathbf{E}[g_{3T} | g_{1T}]$ become some polynomials of g_{1T} .

Hence, the inversion of the approximated characteristic function provides an approximation of the density function of $G^{(\epsilon)}$, $f_{G^{(\epsilon)}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{G^{(\epsilon)}} &= n[x; 0, \Sigma_T] + \epsilon \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{h_2(x) n[x; 0, \Sigma_T]\} \right] \\ &\quad + \epsilon^2 \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \{h_3(x) n[x; 0, \Sigma_T]\} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \{h_{22}(x) n[x; 0, \Sigma_T]\} \right] + o(\epsilon^2), \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $h_2(x) = \mathbf{E}[g_{2T} | g_{1T} = x]$, $h_{22}(x) = \mathbf{E}[g_{2T}^2 | g_{1T} = x]$, $h_3(x) = \mathbf{E}[g_{3T} | g_{1T} = x]$. Also, $n[x; 0, \Sigma_T]$ represents the density function of a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance Σ_T :

$$n[x; 0, \Sigma_T] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \Sigma_T}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2\Sigma_T}\right\}. \quad (47)$$

Let $\phi : \mathbf{R} \mapsto \mathbf{R}$ a smooth function of which all derivatives have polynomial growth orders. Then, the expectation $\mathbf{E}[\phi(G^{(\epsilon)}) I_{\mathcal{B}}(G^{(\epsilon)})]$ has an asymptotic expansion with respect to ϵ :

$$\mathbf{E}[\phi(G^{(\epsilon)}) I_{\mathcal{B}}(G^{(\epsilon)})] = \Phi_0 + \epsilon \Phi_1 + \epsilon^2 \Phi_2 + o(\epsilon^2), \quad (48)$$

where \mathcal{B} stands for a Borel set on \mathbf{R} . $I_{\mathcal{B}}(G^{(\epsilon)}) = 1$ when $G^{(\epsilon)} \in \mathcal{B}$ and $I_{\mathcal{B}}(G^{(\epsilon)}) = 0$ otherwise.

Especially, Φ_0 , Φ_1 , Φ_2 are obtained by

$$\Phi_0 = \int_{\mathcal{B}} \phi(x) n[x; 0, \Sigma_T] dx, \quad (49)$$

$$\Phi_1 = - \int_{\mathcal{B}} \phi(x) \partial_x \{\mathbf{E}[g_{2T} | g_{1T} = x] n[x; 0, \Sigma_T]\} dx, \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2 &= \int_{\mathcal{B}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \phi(x) \partial_x^2 \{\mathbf{E}[g_{2T}^2 | g_{1T} = x] n[x; 0, \Sigma_T]\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \phi(x) \partial_x \{\mathbf{E}[g_{3T} | g_{1T} = x] n[x; 0, \Sigma_T]\} \right) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Finally, when the underlying asset value at maturity T and the strike price are given by $g(X_T^{(\epsilon)})$ and $K = g(X_T^{(0)}) - \epsilon y$ for an arbitrary $y \in \mathbf{R}$, respectively the payoff of the call option is expressed as

$$\max\{g(X_T^{(\epsilon)}) - K, 0\} = \epsilon \phi(G^{(\epsilon)}) I_{\mathcal{B}}(G^{(\epsilon)}), \quad (52)$$

where $\phi(x) = (x + y)$ and $B = \{G^{(\epsilon)} \geq -y\}$.

Remark 1 $\mathbf{E}[g_{2T}|g_{1T} = x]$, $\mathbf{E}[g_{2T}^2|g_{1T} = x]$, $\mathbf{E}[g_{3T}|g_{1T} = x]$ are some polynomial functions of x and those conditional expectations are evaluated by the formulas in Appendix.

4 Approximation Formula of Swaption Price

Given the approximated swap rate process in Section 2, this section derives an analytical approximation formula for swaption prices by using the asymptotic expansion technique.

For simplicity, let us consider a swap rate process with parameter $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ under one-dimensional stochastic volatility environment described as the models (30) and (31) in Section 2. However, even for the case of multi-dimensional stochastic volatility models, the swaption pricing formula can be derived in the similar manner as in the one-dimensional model.

The forward swap rate model under our asymptotic expansion setting is given as follows:

$$dS_{a,b}^{(\epsilon)}(t) = \epsilon \phi(t, S_{a,b}^{(\epsilon)}(t)) \sigma^{(a,b)}(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(\epsilon)}(t)} dW_t^1, \quad (53)$$

$$dV^{(\epsilon)}(t) = \{\eta_1(t) + \eta_2(t)V^{(\epsilon)}(t)\} dt + \epsilon \sum_{l=1}^2 \theta_l(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(\epsilon)}(t)} dW_t^l, \quad (54)$$

where (W_1, W_2) is a two-dimensional Brownian motion, $\theta_1(t) = \rho^{(a,b)}(t)\theta(t)$, $\theta_2(t) = \sqrt{1 - \rho^{(a,b)}(t)^2}\theta(t)$ and $\rho^{(a,b)}(t) \in [-1, 1]$ denotes the correlation between $S_{a,b}^{(\epsilon)}(t)$ and $V(t)$.

Then, based on the discussion in Section 3, the swap rate process $S_{a,b}^{(\epsilon)}(T)$ and variance process $V^{(\epsilon)}(T)$ described by (53) have asymptotic expansions;

$$S_{a,b}^{(\epsilon)}(T) \sim S_{a,b}^{(0)}(T) + \epsilon S_{a,b}^{(1)}(T) + \epsilon^2 S_{a,b}^{(2)}(T) + \epsilon^3 S_{a,b}^{(3)}(T) + \dots, \quad (55)$$

$$V^{(\epsilon)}(T) \sim V^{(0)}(T) + \epsilon V^{(1)}(T) + \epsilon^2 V^{(2)}(T) + \epsilon^3 V^{(3)}(T) + \dots,$$

as $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, where the coefficients in the expansions are given by the next proposition. $S_{a,b}^{(0)}(T)$, $S_{a,b}^{(1)}(T)$, $S_{a,b}^{(2)}(T)$ and $S_{a,b}^{(3)}(T)$ correspond to $X_T^{(0)}$, D_T , E_T and F_T in Section 3, respectively.

Proposition 1 The coefficients $S_{a,b}^{(0)}(T)$, $S_{a,b}^{(1)}(T)$, $S_{a,b}^{(2)}(T)$ and $S_{a,b}^{(3)}(T)$ in (55) are given by:

$$S_{a,b}^{(0)}(T) = S_{a,b}(0), \quad S_{a,b}^{(1)}(T) = \int_0^T f_{11}(s) dW_s, \quad (56)$$

$$S_{a,b}^{(2)}(T) = \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_0^T \int_0^s f_{2k}(u) dW_u g_{2k}(s) dW_s, \quad (57)$$

$$S_{a,b}^{(3)}(T) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^T \int_0^s \int_0^u f_{3k}(v) dW_v g_{3k}(u) dW_u h_{3k}(s) dW_s + \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^T \left(\int_0^s g_{4k}(u) dW_u \right) \left(\int_0^s f_{4k}(u) dW_u \right) h_{4k}(s) dW_s. \quad (58)$$

Here, integrands f, g, h above are obtained as follows:

$$f_{11}(t) = f_{21}(t) = f_{31}(t) = f_{41}(t) = g_{41}(t) = g_{42}(t) = \left(\frac{\sigma(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)} \phi(t, S(0))}{0} \right), \quad (59)$$

$$f_{22}(t) = f_{32}(t) = f_{33}(t) = f_{42}(t) = f_{43}(t) = g_{43}(t) = \left(\frac{\theta_1(t) e^{-\int_0^t \eta_2(s) ds} \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)}}{\theta_2(t) e^{-\int_0^t \eta_2(s) ds} \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)}} \right), \quad (60)$$

$$g_{21}(t) = g_{31}(t) = h_{31}(t) = 2h_{32}(t) = \left(\frac{\sigma(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)} \partial \phi(t, S(0))}{0} \right), \quad (61)$$

$$2g_{22}(t) = g_{32}(t) = 4h_{33}(t) = \left(\frac{\frac{\sigma(t)\beta_2(t)e^{-\int_0^t \eta_2(s) ds}}{\sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)}} \phi(t, S(0))}{0} \right), \quad (62)$$

$$g_{33}(t) = \left(\frac{\frac{\theta_1(t)\beta_2(t)}{\sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)}}}{\frac{\theta_2(t)\beta_2(t)}{\sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)}}} \right), \quad (63)$$

$$h_{41}(t) = \left(\frac{\frac{\sigma(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)}}{2} \partial^2 \phi(t, S(0))}{0} \right), \quad (64)$$

$$h_{42}(t) = \left(\frac{\frac{\sigma(t)\beta_2(t)e^{-\int_0^t \eta_2(s) ds}}{2\sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)}} \partial \phi(t, S(0))}{0} \right), \quad (65)$$

and

$$h_{43}(t) = \left(\frac{-\frac{\sigma(t)\beta_2(t)^2 e^{-2\int_0^t \eta_2(s) ds}}{8[\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)]^{3/2}}}{0} \right), \quad (66)$$

where

$$V^{(0)}(t) := e^{\int_0^t \eta_2(s) ds} \left(\int_0^t \eta_1(s) e^{-\int_0^s \eta_2(u) du} ds + V(0) \right),$$

$$\partial_x \phi(t, S(0)) := \frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=S(0)},$$

$$\partial_x^2 \phi(t, S(0)) := \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \Big|_{x=S(0)}, \quad (67)$$

and we use the abbreviated notation $S(0)$ for $S_{a,b}(0)$ and σ for $\sigma^{(a,b)}$.

Proof. We derive coefficients, $S_{a,b}^{(0)}(T)$, $S_{a,b}^{(1)}(T)$ and $S_{a,b}^{(2)}(T)$ explicitly. $S_{a,b}^{(3)}(T)$ can be derived in the similar manner and hence the detail is omitted. Also, we use the abbreviated notation $S^{(i)}(t)$ for $S_{a,b}^{(i)}(t)$ below.

First, we calculate $S^{(0)}(T)$.

$$S^{(0)}(T) = \left(S(0) + \epsilon \int_0^T \phi \left(t, (S^{(0)}(t) + \epsilon S^{(1)}(t) + \dots) \right) \times \sigma(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t) (V^{(0)}(t) + \epsilon V^{(1)}(t) + \dots)} dW_t^1 \right) \Big|_{\epsilon=0} = S(0).$$

Next, we calculate $V^{(0)}(T)$ and $S^{(1)}(T)$.

$$V^{(0)}(T) = \left(V(0) + \int_0^T \eta_1(t) + \eta_2(t)(V^{(0)}(t) + \epsilon V^{(1)}(t) + \dots) dt + \epsilon \sum_{l=1}^2 \int_0^T \theta_l(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)(V^{(0)}(t) + \epsilon V^{(1)}(t) + \dots)} dW_t^l \right) \Big|_{\epsilon=0}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= V(0) + \int_0^T (\eta_{1d}(t) + \eta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)) dt, \\
S^{(1)}(T) &= \left(\int_0^T \phi \left(t, (S^{(0)}(t) + \epsilon S^{(1)}(t) + \dots) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \times \sigma(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t) (V^{(0)}(t) + \epsilon V^{(1)}(t) + \dots)} dW_t^1 \\
&\quad + \epsilon \int_0^T \partial \phi \left(t, (S^{(0)}(t) + \epsilon S^{(1)}(t) + \dots) \right) (S^{(1)}(t) + 2\epsilon S^{(2)}(t) + \dots) \\
&\quad \times \sigma(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t) (V^{(0)}(t) + \epsilon V^{(1)}(t) + \dots)} dW_t^1 \\
&\quad + \epsilon \int_0^T \phi \left(t, (S^{(0)}(t) + \epsilon S^{(1)}(t) + \dots) \right) \\
&\quad \times \sigma(t) \frac{\beta_2(t)(V^{(1)}(t) + 2\epsilon V^{(2)}(t) + \dots)}{\sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t) (V^{(0)}(t) + \epsilon V^{(1)}(t) + \dots)}} dW_t^1 \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \\
&= \int_0^T \phi \left(t, S^{(0)}(t) \right) \sigma(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)} dW_t^1.
\end{aligned}$$

$V^{(0)}(T)$ can be solved as follows:

$$V^{(0)}(t) = e^{\int_0^t \eta_2(s) ds} \left(\int_0^t \eta_1(s) e^{-\int_0^s \eta_2(u) du} ds + V(0) \right).$$

Then, substituting $V^{(0)}(t)$ into $S^{(1)}(T)$, we obtain the coefficient $f_{11}(t)$.

In the similar manner, we get the following equations for calculation of $V^{(1)}(t)$ and $S^{(2)}(T)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
V^{(1)}(T) &= \frac{\partial V^{(\epsilon)}(T)}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \\
&= \int_0^T \eta_2(t)V^{(1)}(t) dt + \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^T \theta_i(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)} dW_t^1, \\
S^{(2)}(T) &= \frac{\partial^2 S^{(\epsilon)}(T)}{\partial \epsilon^2} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \\
&= 2 \int_0^T \partial_x \phi \left(t, S^{(0)}(t) \right) S^{(1)}(t) \sigma(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)} dW_t^1 \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \phi \left(t, S^{(0)}(t) \right) \sigma(t) \frac{\beta_2(t)V^{(1)}(t)}{\sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)}} dW_t^1.
\end{aligned}$$

Those equations are solved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
V^{(1)}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^2 e^{\int_0^t \eta_2(s) ds} \left(\int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s \eta_2(u) du} \theta_i(s) \sqrt{\beta_1(s) + \beta_2(s)V^{(0)}(s)} dW_s^1 \right), \\
S^{(2)}(T) &= 2 \int_0^T \partial_x \phi \left(t, S^{(0)}(t) \right) \sigma(t) \sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)} \\
&\quad \times \int_0^t \phi \left(t, S^{(0)}(s) \right) \sigma(s) \sqrt{\beta_1(s) + \beta_2(s)V^{(0)}(s)} dW_s^1 dW_t^1 \\
&\quad + \int_0^T \phi \left(t, S^{(0)}(t) \right) \sigma(t) \frac{\beta_2(t) \sum_{i=1}^2 e^{\int_0^t \eta_2(s) ds}}{\sqrt{\beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)V^{(0)}(t)}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\times \int_0^t e^{-\int_0^s \eta_2(u) du} \theta_i(s) \sqrt{\beta_1(s) + \beta_2(s)V^{(0)}(s)} dW_s^1 dW_t^1.$$

Thus, we obtain $f_{2i}(t)$ and $g_{2i}(t)$, $i = 1, 2$.

Therefore, applying the general result in the previous section to the current setting, the European payers-swaption price;

$$\text{Swptn}(a, b) := \mathcal{N}_{a,b}(0) \mathbf{E}^{(a,b)}[(S_{a,b}(T) - K)^+]$$

is obtained by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\text{Swptn}(a, b)}{\mathcal{N}_{a,b}(0)} &= \epsilon \left(y \int_{-y}^{\infty} n(x, \Sigma) dx + \int_{-y}^{\infty} xn(x, \Sigma) dx \right) \\
&\quad + \epsilon^2 \int_{-y}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}^{(a,b)} [S_{a,b}^{(2)}(T) | S_{a,b}^{(1)}(T) = x] n(x, \Sigma) dx \\
&\quad + \epsilon^3 \left(\int_{-y}^{\infty} \mathbf{E}^{(a,b)} [S_{a,b}^{(3)}(T) | S_{a,b}^{(1)}(T) = x] n(x, \Sigma) dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}^{(a,b)} [S_{a,b}^{(2)}(T)^2 | S_{a,b}^{(1)}(T) = y] n(y, \Sigma) \right) + o(\epsilon^3), \tag{68}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{a,b}(0) = \sum_{i=a+1}^b \delta_i P_i(0)$, $y := (S_{a,b}(0) - K)/\epsilon$ and $\Sigma := \int_0^T f_{11}^{\prime}(t) dt$.

We remark that $\left(\frac{S_{a,b}^{(\epsilon)}(T) - S_{a,b}^{(0)}(T)}{\epsilon} \right)$ corresponds to $G^{(\epsilon)}$ in Section 3. Note also that the equation (48) with (52) in Section 3 is applied. Then, the equation (68) is obtained after some calculation of (49), (50) and (51).

Finally, the following theorem is obtained through evaluations of the conditional expectations in the above equation by the formulas in Appendix, as well as applications of formulas below:

$$\int_{-y}^{\infty} n[x; 0, \Sigma] dx = N\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{\Sigma}}\right), \tag{69}$$

$$\int_{-y}^{\infty} xn[x; 0, \Sigma] dx = \Sigma n[y; 0, \Sigma], \tag{70}$$

$$\int_{-y}^{\infty} x^2 n[x; 0, \Sigma] dx = \Sigma N\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{\Sigma}}\right) - y \Sigma n[y; 0, \Sigma], \tag{71}$$

$$\int_{-y}^{\infty} x^3 n[x; 0, \Sigma] dx = (2\Sigma^2 + \Sigma y^2) n[y; 0, \Sigma], \tag{72}$$

where $N(x)$ denotes the distribution function of the standard normal distribution, and

$$n[x; 0, \Sigma] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Sigma}} \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2\Sigma}\right\}. \tag{73}$$

Theorem 1. The European payers-swaption price $\text{Swptn}(a, b)$ at time 0 with strike rate K and maturity T is evaluated by the following formula, where the underlying forward swap's effective date and terminate date are given by T_a and T_b , respectively ($T \leq T_a < T_b$, $a, b \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$):

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Swptn}(a, b) &= \mathcal{N}_{a,b}(0) \left\{ \epsilon \left(y N\left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{\Sigma}}\right) + \Sigma n[y; 0, \Sigma] \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \epsilon^2 C_1 \left(-\frac{y n[y; 0, \Sigma]}{\Sigma} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \epsilon^3 \left(C_2 \left(\frac{-1}{\Sigma} + \frac{y^2}{\Sigma^2} \right) n[y; 0, \Sigma] + C_3 n[y; 0, \Sigma] \right. \\
 & + \left. \left(C_4 \left(\frac{y^4}{\Sigma^4} - \frac{6y^2}{\Sigma^3} + \frac{3}{\Sigma^2} \right) + C_5 \left(\frac{y^2}{\Sigma^2} - \frac{1}{\Sigma} \right) + C_6 \right) n[y; 0, \Sigma] \right) \Big\} \\
 & + o(\epsilon^3).
 \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

where $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ is a constant, $\mathcal{N}_{a,b}(0) = \sum_{i=a+1}^b \delta_i P_i(0)$, and y, Σ and $C_i (i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ are given as follows:

$$y = \frac{S_{a,b}(0) - K}{\epsilon}, \tag{75}$$

$$\Sigma = \int_0^T f_{11}(s)' f_{11}(s) ds, \tag{76}$$

$$C_1 = \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^T f_{11}(s)' g_{2i}(s) \int_0^s f_{11}(u)' f_{2i}(u) du ds, \tag{77}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_2 = & \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^T f_{11}(s)' h_{3i}(s) \int_0^s f_{11}(u)' g_{3i}(u) \int_0^u f_{11}(v)' f_{3i}(v) dv du ds \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^T f_{11}(s)' h_{4i}(s) \int_0^s f_{11}(u)' g_{4i}(u) \int_0^u f_{11}(v)' f_{4i}(v) dv du ds,
 \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

$$C_3 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^T f_{11}(s)' h_{4i}(s) \int_0^s g_{4i}(u)' f_{4i}(u) du ds, \tag{79}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_4 = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_0^T f_{11}(s)' g_{5i}(s) \int_0^s f_{11}(u)' f_{5i}(u) du ds \right) \\
 & \times \left(\int_0^T f_{11}(s)' k_{5i}(s) \int_0^s f_{11}(u)' h_{5i}(u) du ds \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 C_5 = & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \left(\int_0^T f_{11}(s)' k_{5i}(s) \int_0^s f_{11}(u)' g_{5i}(u) \int_0^u f_{5i}(v)' h_{5i}(v) dv du ds \right. \\
 & + \int_0^T f_{11}(s)' g_{5i}(s) \int_0^s f_{11}(u)' k_{5i}(u) \int_0^u f_{5i}(v)' h_{5i}(v) dv du ds \\
 & + \int_0^T f_{11}(s)' g_{5i}(s) \int_0^s f_{5i}(u)' k_{5i}(u) \int_0^u f_{11}(v)' h_{5i}(v) dv du ds \\
 & + \int_0^T g_{5i}(s)' k_{5i}(s) \int_0^s f_{11}(u)' h_{5i}(u) du \int_0^s f_{11}(u)' f_{5i}(u) du ds \\
 & \left. + \int_0^T f_{11}(s)' k_{5i}(s) \int_0^s g_{5i}(u)' h_{5i}(u) \int_0^u f_{11}(v)' f_{5i}(v) dv du ds \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

$$C_6 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^T g_{5i}(s)' k_{5i}(s) \int_0^s f_{5i}(u)' h_{5i}(u) du ds. \tag{82}$$

Here, $f_{11}(t), f_{2i}(t) (i = 1, 2), f_{3i}(t) (i = 1, 2, 3), f_{4i}(t) (i = 1, 2, 3), g_{2i}(t) (i = 1, 2), g_{3i}(t) (i = 1, 2, 3), g_{4i}(t) (i = 1, 2, 3), h_{3i}(t) (i = 1, 2, 3),$ and $h_{4i}(t) (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are given as equations (59)–(66) in Proposition 1. $f_{5i}(t), g_{5i}(t), h_{5i}(t),$ and $k_{5i}(t) (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_{51}(t) &= f_{53}(t) = h_{51}(t) = f_{21}(t), \\
 f_{52}(t) &= h_{52}(t) = h_{53}(t) = f_{22}(t), \\
 g_{51}(t) &= g_{53}(t) = k_{51}(t) = g_{21}(t), \\
 g_{52}(t) &= k_{52}(t) = \frac{1}{2} k_{53}(t) = g_{22}(t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2. On the computational complexity and speed for the swaption formula (74) in Theorem 1²

First of all, note that $\epsilon, \mathcal{N}_{a,b}(0)$ and y are constants and that there are no problems for evaluations of the standard normal distribution $N(y)$ and the normal density function $n[y; 0, \Sigma]$, given Σ .

When Σ and $C_i (i = 1, \dots, 6)$ are obtained as closed-forms, we have obviously no problems in terms of computational complexity and speed. Thus, let us discuss about the cases that their closed-forms are not available and numerical integrations are necessary.

As $f_{11}(t)$ whose concrete expression is found in Proposition 1 is a D -dimensional vector given t , which is equal to the dimension of Brownian motion in the swap process (28), $f'_{11}(t) f_{11}(t)$ is obtained by D -times addition. Hence, the order of the computational effort for $\Sigma = \int_0^T f'_{11}(t) f_{11}(t) dt$ is at most DM , where M is the number of time-steps for the discretization in the numerical integral.

Note also that all the multiple integrals appearing in $C_i (i = 1, \dots, 6)$ are computed by the program code with only one loop against the time parameter. For instance, look at the following term in C_5 in Theorem 1:

$$\int_0^T f_{11}(s)' k_{5i}(s) \int_0^s f_{11}(u)' g_{5i}(u) \int_0^u f_{5i}(v)' h_{5i}(v) dv du ds.$$

Let $f(s) = f'_{11}(s) k_{5i}(s), g(u) = f'_{11}(u) g_{5i}(u)$ and $h(v) = f'_{5i}(v) h_{5i}(v)$. Then, the above integral is approximated for the numerical integration as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^T f(s) \int_0^t g(u) \int_0^u h(v) dv du ds \\
 & \approx \sum_{i=1}^M \Delta t_i f(t_i) \sum_{j=1}^i \Delta t_j g(t_j) \sum_{k=1}^j \Delta t_k h(t_k) \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^M \Delta t_i f(t_i) (G(t_{i-1}) + \Delta t_i g(t_i) (H(t_{i-1}) + \Delta t_i h(t_i))),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta t_i = (t_i - t_{i-1}), H(t_i) = H(t_{i-1}) + \Delta t_i h(t_j)$ and $G(t_i) = G(t_{i-1}) + \Delta t_i g(t_i) H(t_i)$.

Here, each of $h(t), g(t)$ and $f(t)$ is obtained by at most $2D$ -times addition since the dimension of each vector is equal to $2D$, the Brownian motion's dimension under our setting. Hence, the order of the computational effort is at most $(2D)M$, where M is the number of time-steps for the discretization in the numerical integral. Note that we have no problems in terms of computational complexity and speed since various fast numerical integration methods are available such as the extrapolation method. In fact, we enjoy pretty much fast calibrations and pricings such as within 1/1000 seconds per pricing a swaption for numerical examples reported in Section 6.

5 Applications

This section provides concrete applications of the general approximation formula developed in the previous section to CEV-Heston LMM and Quadratic-Heston LMM.

Let us start with the stochastic volatility process specified by the Heston model (Heston (1993)):

$$dV(t) = \xi(\eta - V(t))dt + \theta\sqrt{V(t)}dW_t^Q, \quad (83)$$

where $\xi \geq 0$, $\eta > 0$ and $\theta \geq 0$ are some constants satisfying $\xi\eta \geq \theta^2/2$.

Applying this model, we describe the forward LIBOR process as in Section 2:

$$dF_k(t) = \phi(t, F_k(t))\sigma_k(t)\sqrt{V(t)}dW_t^{k,1}, \quad (84)$$

$$dV(t) = \xi \left(\eta - \frac{\xi + \theta\mu(t, \gamma(t), k)}{\xi} \right) dt + \theta\rho_k\sqrt{V(t)}dW_t^{k,1} + \theta\sqrt{1 - \rho_k^2}\sqrt{V(t)}dW_t^{k,2}, \quad (85)$$

where,

$$\mu(t, \gamma(t), k) = \sum_{j=\gamma(t)+1}^k \frac{\delta_j \rho_j \sigma_j(t) \phi(t, F_j(t))}{1 + \delta_j F_j(t)}, \quad (86)$$

and ρ_j denotes the correlation between j -th forward LIBOR and the stochastic volatility. After applying the change of a numéraire and the freezing technique discussed in Section 2.2, the forward swap rate process is expressed as follows:

$$dS_{a,b}(t) = \sigma^{(a,b)}(t)\sqrt{V(t)}\phi(t, S_{a,b}(t))dW_t^1, \quad (87)$$

$$dV(t) = \xi(\eta - \nu(t)V(t))dt + \theta_1(t)\sqrt{V(t)}dW_t^1 + \theta_2(t)\sqrt{V(t)}dW_t^2, \quad (88)$$

where $\theta_1(t) = \theta\rho^{(a,b)}(t)$, $\theta_2(t) = \theta\sqrt{1 - (\rho^{(a,b)}(t))^2}$, and W_t^l , $l = 1, 2$ are independent Brownian motions. By (23), (27), (32), (33), (34) and (85), the parameters $\sigma^{(a,b)}(t)$, $\rho^{(a,b)}(t)$ and $\nu(t)$ are expressed as follows:

$$\sigma^{(a,b)}(t) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=a+1}^b \sum_{h=a+1}^b \lambda_k^{(a,b),0}(0)\sigma_k(t)\lambda_h^{(a,b),0}(t)\sigma_h(t)\rho_{k,h}}, \quad (89)$$

$$\rho^{(a,b)}(t) = \frac{\sum_{j=a+1}^b \lambda_j^{(a,b),0}(t)\sigma_j(t)\rho_j}{\sigma^{(a,b)}(t)}, \quad (90)$$

$$\nu(t) = 1 + \frac{\theta}{\xi}\mu_0^{(a,b)}(t, \gamma(t)), \quad (91)$$

$$\mu_0^{(a,b)}(t, \gamma(t)) = \sum_{k=a+1}^b w_k^{(a,b)}(0) \sum_{j=\gamma(t)+1}^k \frac{\delta_j \rho_j \sigma_j(t) \phi(t, F_j(0))}{1 + \delta_j F_j(0)}, \quad (92)$$

$$w_k^{(a,b)}(0) = \frac{\delta_k P_k(0)}{\sum_{i=a+1}^b \delta_i P_i(0)}, \quad (93)$$

$$\gamma(t) = \min\{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\} : T_i \geq t\}, \quad (94)$$

where $\rho_{k,h}$ represents the correlation between k -th forward LIBOR and h -th forward LIBOR.

5.1 CEV-Heston LMM

The first example is the *CEV-Heston LMM*, where the local volatility function is given by the constant elasticity of variance (CEV) form and the stochastic volatility process is specified by the Heston model (83). That is, $\phi(t, F) = F^\beta$.

Based on the discussion in Section 2, in the CEV-Heston LMM the dynamics of a forward swap rate $S_{a,b}(t)$ under the swap measure is given as

$$dS_{a,b}(t) = \sigma^{(a,b)}(t)\sqrt{V(t)}S_{a,b}(t)^\beta dW_t^1, \quad (95)$$

$$dV(t) = \xi(\eta - \nu(t)V(t))dt + \theta_1(t)\sqrt{V(t)}dW_t^1 + \theta_2(t)\sqrt{V(t)}dW_t^2. \quad (96)$$

An approximation formula for the swaption price in the CEV-Heston LMM is obtained by the formula (74) where $f_{11}(t), f_{21}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$), $f_{31}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $f_{41}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $g_{21}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$), $g_{31}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $g_{41}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $h_{31}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), and $h_{41}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) appearing in the equations (76)–(82) are specified as follows:

$$f_{11}(t) = f_{21}(t) = f_{31}(t) = f_{41}(t) = g_{41}(t) = g_{42}(t) = \left(\frac{\sigma(t)(S(0))^\beta \sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}}{0} \right), \quad (97)$$

$$f_{22}(t) = f_{32}(t) = f_{33}(t) = f_{42}(t) = f_{43}(t) = g_{43}(t) = \left(\frac{\theta_1(t)e^{\xi \int_0^t \nu(s)ds} \sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}}{\theta_2(t)e^{\xi \int_0^t \nu(s)ds} \sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}} \right), \quad (98)$$

$$g_{21}(t) = g_{31}(t) = h_{31}(t) = 2h_{32}(t) = \left(\frac{\sigma(t)\beta(S(0))^{\beta-1} \sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}}{0} \right), \quad (99)$$

$$2g_{22}(t) = g_{32}(t) = 4h_{33}(t) = \left(\frac{\sigma(t)(S(0))^\beta (e^{-\xi \int_0^t \nu(s)ds})}{\sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}} \right), \quad (100)$$

$$g_{33}(t) = \left(\frac{\frac{\theta_1(t)}{\sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}}}{\frac{\theta_2(t)}{\sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}}} \right), \quad (101)$$

$$h_{41}(t) = \left(\frac{\frac{\sigma(t)\beta(\beta-1)(S(0))^{\beta-2} \sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}}{2}}{0} \right), \quad (102)$$

$$h_{42}(t) = \left(\frac{\frac{\sigma(t)\beta(S(0))^{\beta-1} (e^{-\xi \int_0^t \nu(s)ds})}{2\sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}}}{0} \right), \quad (103)$$

$$h_{43}(t) = \left(\frac{-\frac{\sigma(t)(S(0))^\beta (e^{-2\xi \int_0^t \nu(s)ds})}{8(V^{(0)}(t))^{\frac{3}{2}}}}{0} \right), \quad (104)$$

where $S(0)$ stands for $S_{a,b}(0)$, $\sigma(t)$ stands for $\sigma^{(a,b)}(t)$ and

$$V^{(0)}(t) = e^{-\xi \int_0^t \nu(s)ds} \left(\int_0^t \xi \eta e^{\xi \int_0^s \nu(u)du} ds + V(0) \right). \quad (105)$$

Moreover, $f_{5i}(t)$, $g_{5i}(t)$, $h_{5i}(t)$, and $k_{5i}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) in the equations (76)–(82) are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{51}(t) &= f_{53}(t) = h_{51}(t) = f_{21}(t), \\ f_{52}(t) &= h_{52}(t) = h_{53}(t) = f_{22}(t), \\ g_{51}(t) &= g_{53}(t) = k_{51}(t) = g_{21}(t), \\ g_{52}(t) &= k_{52}(t) = \frac{1}{2}k_{53}(t) = g_{22}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

We remark that in this approximation, the parameter $v(t)$ standing for the mean-reversion seed of the volatility is made time-dependent for the reduction of the approximation error as much as possible; the effect of this parameter seems large for a long-tenor swap while it seems small for a short-tenor swap.

5.2 Quadratic-Heston LMM

The second example is the *Quadratic-Heston LMM*, where the stochastic volatility process is given by the Heston model and the local volatility function is specified as a quadratic function:

$$\phi(t, F) = (1 - b(t))F(0) + b(t)F + \frac{c(t)}{2F(0)}(F - F(0))^2, \quad (107)$$

where $b(t)$ and $c(t)$ are some (deterministic) functions of the time-parameter t .

Based on the discussion in Section 2, in the Quadratic-Heston LMM the dynamics of a forward swap rate $S_{a,b}(t)$ under the swap measure is given as

$$\begin{aligned} dS_{a,b}(t) &= \sigma^{(a,b)}(t)\sqrt{V(t)}\left((1 - b(t))S_{a,b}(0) + b(t)S_{a,b}(t)\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{c(t)}{2S(0)}(S_{a,b}(t) - S_{a,b}(0))^2\right)dW_t^1, \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

$$\begin{aligned} dV(t) &= \xi(\eta - v(t)V(t))dt + \theta_1(t)\sqrt{V(t)}dW_t^1 \\ &\quad + \theta_2(t)\sqrt{V(t)}dW_t^2. \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

Next, set $X_{a,b}(t) := S_{a,b}(t)/S_{a,b}(0)$, and then the swaption price is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Swptn}(a, b) &= \mathcal{N}_{a,b}(0)\mathbf{E} \left[\max \{ S_{a,b}(T) - K, 0 \} \right] \\ &= \mathcal{N}_{a,b}(0)S_{a,b}(0)\mathbf{E} \left[\max \left\{ X_{a,b}(T) - \frac{K}{S_{a,b}(0)}, 0 \right\} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

and the dynamics of $X_{a,b}(t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} dX_{a,b}(t) &= \sigma^{(a,b)}(t)\sqrt{V(t)}\left(1 - b(t) + b(t)X_{a,b}(t)\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}c(t)(X_{a,b}(t) - 1)^2\right)dW^1(t). \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

We note that as the local volatility function in (111) can be regarded as an approximation by the second-order Taylor expansion around the initial value $X_{a,b}(0) = 1$ of an arbitrary twice differentiable function, this quadratic form is considered as a rather general local volatility function.³

An approximation formula for the swaption price in the Quadratic-Heston LMM is obtained by the formula (74) where $f_{11}(t), f_{21}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$), $f_{31}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $f_{41}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $g_{21}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2$), $g_{31}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $g_{41}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), $h_{31}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), and $h_{41}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) appearing in the equations (76)–(82) are specified as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{21}(t) &= f_{31}(t) = f_{41}(t) = g_{41}(t) = g_{42}(t) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(t)\sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{22}(t) &= f_{32}(t) = f_{33}(t) = f_{42}(t) = f_{43}(t) = g_{43}(t) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1(t)e^{\xi \int_0^t v(s)ds} \sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)} \\ \theta_2(t)e^{\xi \int_0^t v(s)ds} \sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (113)$$

$$f_{11}(t) = S(0)f_{21}(t), \quad (114)$$

$$g_{31}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(t)b(t)\sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (115)$$

$$g_{21}(t) = h_{31}(t) = 2h_{32}(t) = S(0)g_{31}(t), \quad (116)$$

$$g_{32}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sigma(t)e^{-\xi \int_0^t v(s)ds}}{\sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (117)$$

$$2g_{22}(t) = 4h_{33}(t) = S(0)g_{32}(t), \quad (118)$$

$$g_{33}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\theta_1(t)}{\sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}} \\ \frac{\theta_2(t)}{\sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (119)$$

$$h_{41}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{S(0)\sigma(t)c(t)\sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}}{2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (120)$$

$$h_{42}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{S(0)\sigma(t)b(t)e^{-\xi \int_0^t v(s)ds}}{2\sqrt{V^{(0)}(t)}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (121)$$

$$h_{43}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{S(0)\sigma(t)e^{-2\xi \int_0^t v(s)ds}}{8(V^{(0)}(t))^{\frac{3}{2}}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (122)$$

where $S(0)$ stands for $S_{a,b}(0)$ and σ stands for $\sigma^{(a,b)}$. Moreover, $f_{5i}(t), g_{5i}(t), h_{5i}(t)$, and $k_{5i}(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) in the equations (76)–(82) are given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{51}(t) &= f_{53}(t) = h_{51}(t) = f_{21}(t), \\ f_{52}(t) &= h_{52}(t) = h_{53}(t) = f_{22}(t), \\ g_{51}(t) &= g_{53}(t) = k_{51}(t) = g_{21}(t), \\ g_{52}(t) &= k_{52}(t) = \frac{1}{2}k_{53}(t) = g_{22}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (123)$$

6 Numerical Examples

This section provides two numerical examples: the calibration test and the accuracy test. First, let us set LSV-LMM as the CEV-Heston LMM and the Quadratic-Heston LMM for the numerical examples. Under the spot measure \mathbb{Q} , the local volatility functions of CEV-Heston LMM and Quadratic-Heston LMM are given by

$$\phi(t, F) = F^\beta \quad \text{and} \quad \phi(t, F) = (1 - b)F(0) + bF + c\frac{(F - F(0))^2}{2F(0)}, \quad (124)$$

respectively, where b, c and σ_j are some constants. Then the one-dimensional Heston-type stochastic volatility in (83) is equipped with the two models. All model parameters are assumed to be constant for simplicity. We set the parameter $\epsilon = 1$.

6.1 Calibration Test

This subsection examines the calibration ability of the CEV-Heston LMM and the Quadratic-Heston LMM with our approximation formula. In particular, because a caplet is regarded as a special case of a swaption⁴, Theorem 1

with specifications in Section 5.1 or 5.2 is applied to the evaluation of cap prices in calibration of each model: Formula (74) with equations (97)–(106) is applied to CEV-Heston LMM, while the one with equations (112)–(123) is applied to Quadratic-Heston LMM.

The US cap market data⁵ as of April 1, 2008 downloaded from Bloomberg are employed for the calibration test. The two models are calibrated to the market caplet implied volatilities with 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, and 20-year maturities, simultaneously.

The parameters $V(0)$ and η are fixed as 1. The other parameters of the local stochastic volatilities and the correlations between LIBORs and the volatilities are obtained by calibration. The calibrated parameters of the local stochastic volatilities are listed in Table 1. The number of parameters, σ_k , ρ_k and forward LIBORs is so many that those values are not reported here.⁶

Figure 1 and 2 plot the market and model-based caplet implied volatilities.

These figures show that the model-based caplet implied volatilities generated by both the CEV-Heston LMM and the Quadratic-Heston LMM are fitted into the market ones very well. This calibration test implies that the CEV-Heston LMM and the Quadratic-Heston LMM have sufficient calibration ability to cap markets, and that our approximation formula is a very powerful tool because such a fast caplet pricing scheme is necessary for implementing the calibration. In fact, it only takes less than 1/1000 seconds with core i7-870 processor to evaluate each caplet by applying our formula.

Table 1: Local Stochastic Volatility Parameters.

	ξ	θ	β	b	c
CEV-Heston	0.0987	0.4442	0.0100	–	–
Quadratic-Heston	0.0488	0.3124	–	0.2438	1.2919

Figure 1: Caplet Implied Volatilities with 1, 2, 3, and 5-Year Maturities.





Figure 2: Caplet Implied Volatilities with 7, 10, 15, and 20-Year Maturities.



6.2 Accuracy Test

This subsection provides the accuracy test of our approximate swaption pricing formula in Theorem 1. Setting the calibrated parameters in section 5.1 and historically estimated correlations among forward LIBOR rates in the CEV-Heston LMM and the Quadratic-Heston LMM, we compute 5-year \times 5-year and 10-year \times 10-year payers swaption prices by our formula. In order to calculate $\sigma^{(a,b)}$, the total correlation structure in LMM with the stochastic volatility should remain positive semi-definite. For that reason, we use the parameterization method proposed by Mercurio and Morini (2007) for the correlation matrix. Then, we compare our approximate swaption prices with exact ones.

The parameters used for calculating swaption price are reported in Table 1 to 3, where C-H and Q-H stand for CEV-Heston and Quadratic-Heston, respectively.

Table 4 to 7 display the prices of 5-year \times 5-year and 10-year \times 10-year payers swaption under the CEV-Heston LMM and the Quadratic-Heston LMM, respectively. In the tables, the values of (a) Full MC denote swaption prices computed by the Monte Carlo simulation with 1,000,000 sample paths without any approximation techniques. We consider these prices as the exact values of swaption prices. The values of (b) FT + MC are the Monte Carlo prices with the freezing techniques. The values of (c) FT + AE are the swaption prices by the asymptotic expansion scheme with the freezing techniques, that is, our pricing formula: Formula (74) with equations (97)–(106) is applied to CEV-Heston LMM, while the one with equations (112)–(123) is applied to Quadratic-Heston LMM. The value in the round bracket denotes the implied volatility corresponding to each swaption price. As explained in Remark 2, we have no problems in computation, which is very fast: It only takes less than 1/1000 seconds with core i7-870 processor to evaluate a 10×10 swaption, (although we partially rely on numerical integrations since we make the parameter $v(t)$ time-dependent for the reduction of the approximation errors as much as possible.)

Table 2: The Value of $v(t)$.

t	C-H 5 × 5	Q-H 5 × 5	C-H 10 × 10	Q-H 10 × 10	t	C-H 10 × 10	Q-H 10 × 10
0.25	1.035	0.853	1.000	0.716	5.25	0.998	0.811
0.5	1.029	0.851	1.000	0.714	5.5	0.998	0.817
0.75	1.023	0.850	1.000	0.713	5.75	0.998	0.822
1	1.018	0.850	1.000	0.713	6	0.998	0.827
1.25	1.012	0.850	0.999	0.714	6.25	0.998	0.831
1.5	1.009	0.855	0.999	0.718	6.5	0.998	0.836
1.75	1.007	0.862	0.999	0.725	6.75	0.998	0.841
2	1.006	0.870	0.999	0.733	7	0.998	0.846
2.25	1.006	0.879	0.999	0.743	7.25	0.998	0.850
2.5	1.004	0.885	1.000	0.749	7.5	0.998	0.858
2.75	1.003	0.892	0.999	0.755	7.75	0.999	0.866
3	1.002	0.899	0.999	0.762	8	0.999	0.874
3.25	1.002	0.906	0.999	0.770	8.25	0.999	0.883
3.5	1.000	0.912	0.999	0.775	8.5	0.999	0.889
3.75	0.999	0.918	0.999	0.781	8.75	0.999	0.895
4	0.999	0.924	0.999	0.787	9	0.999	0.902
4.25	0.998	0.929	0.999	0.793	9.25	0.999	0.908
4.5	0.997	0.934	0.999	0.798	9.5	0.999	0.915
4.75	0.996	0.939	0.998	0.803	9.75	0.999	0.921
5	0.995	0.944	0.998	0.807	10	0.999	0.928

Table 3: Other parameters.

	Forward Swap	Annuity	$\rho^{(\alpha, b)}$ (C-H)	$\rho^{(\alpha, b)}$ (Q-H)	$\sigma^{(\alpha, b)}$ (C-H)	$\sigma^{(\alpha, b)}$ (Q-H)
10 × 10	5.413%	5.037	-0.1535	-0.5239	0.0048	0.0877
5 × 5	5.049%	3.720	-0.1378	-0.5443	0.0068	0.1370

Table 4: 10y × 10y Payers Swaption Prices under CEV-Heston LMM.

Strike Rate (%)	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	7.00
(a) Full MC	0.1236 (12.07)	0.0779 (10.04)	0.0400 (8.62)	0.0157 (7.75)	0.0048 (7.34)
(b) FT + MC	0.1239 (12.51)	0.0783 (10.26)	0.0403 (8.72)	0.0158 (7.77)	0.0048 (7.31)
(c) FT + AE	0.1241 (12.74)	0.0784 (10.29)	0.0403 (8.69)	0.0156 (7.74)	0.0047 (7.25)
(b) – (a)	0.0003 (0.44)	0.0004 (0.22)	0.0003 (0.10)	0.0001 (0.02)	-0.0001 (-0.03)
(c) – (b)	0.0002 (0.24)	0.0001 (0.03)	-0.0001 (-0.03)	-0.0001 (-0.03)	-0.0001 (-0.06)
(c) – (a)	0.0005 (0.68)	0.0005 (0.25)	0.0002 (0.07)	0.0000 (-0.01)	-0.0002 (-0.09)

Next, we note that the values in the lower layers of Table 4, 5, 6 and 7 denote the approximation errors caused by the freezing techniques and/or the asymptotic expansion.

Table 5: 5y × 5y Payers Swaption Prices under CEV-Heston LMM.

Strike Rate (%)	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	7.00
(a) Full MC	0.0786 (17.48)	0.0467 (14.67)	0.0222 (12.77)	0.0080 (11.62)	0.0023 (10.98)
(b) FT + MC	0.0787 (17.72)	0.0468 (14.75)	0.0222 (12.78)	0.0080 (11.57)	0.0022 (10.90)
(c) FT + AE	0.0788 (17.84)	0.0467 (14.74)	0.0221 (12.76)	0.0079 (11.54)	0.0022 (10.86)
(b) – (a)	0.0001 (0.24)	0.0001 (0.09)	0.0000 (0.00)	0.0000 (-0.05)	-0.0001 (-0.07)
(c) – (b)	0.0001 (0.13)	-0.0001 (-0.01)	-0.0001 (-0.01)	-0.0001 (-0.03)	0.0000 (-0.04)
(c) – (a)	0.0002 (0.36)	0.0001 (0.07)	0.0000 (-0.01)	-0.0001 (-0.08)	-0.0001 (-0.12)

Table 6: 10y × 10y Payers Swaption Prices under Quadratic-Heston LMM.

Strike Rate (%)	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	7.00
(a) Full MC	0.1258 (14.47)	0.0812 (11.70)	0.0437 (9.80)	0.0182 (8.51)	0.0058 (7.78)
(b) FT + MC	0.1252 (13.91)	0.0803 (11.26)	0.0425 (9.42)	0.0169 (8.13)	0.0049 (7.36)
(c) FT + AE	0.1249 (13.55)	0.0799 (11.06)	0.0422 (9.32)	0.0168 (8.09)	0.0046 (7.20)
(b) – (a)	-0.0006 (-0.56)	-0.0009 (-0.44)	-0.0012 (-0.38)	-0.0012 (-0.37)	-0.0009 (-0.42)
(c) – (b)	-0.0003 (-0.35)	-0.0004 (-0.20)	-0.0003 (-0.10)	-0.0002 (-0.05)	-0.0003 (-0.16)
(c) – (a)	-0.0009 (-0.91)	-0.0013 (-0.64)	-0.0015 (-0.48)	-0.0014 (-0.42)	-0.0012 (-0.58)

Table 7: 5y × 5y Payers Swaption Prices under Quadratic-Heston LMM.

Strike Rate (%)	3.00	4.00	5.00	6.00	7.00
(a) Full MC	0.0802 (20.14)	0.0489 (16.58)	0.0245 (14.21)	0.0096 (12.65)	0.0030 (11.74)
(b) FT + MC	0.0798 (19.50)	0.0482 (15.99)	0.0236 (13.63)	0.0087 (12.05)	0.0024 (11.08)
(c) FT + AE	0.0796 (19.17)	0.0482 (15.95)	0.0237 (13.74)	0.0089 (12.18)	0.0024 (11.07)
(b) – (a)	-0.0004 (-0.64)	-0.0007 (-0.59)	-0.0010 (-0.58)	-0.0009 (-0.60)	-0.0006 (-0.67)
(c) – (b)	-0.0002 (-0.33)	-0.0001 (-0.04)	0.0002 (0.11)	0.0002 (0.12)	-0.0000 (-0.01)
(c) – (a)	-0.0006 (-0.97)	-0.0008 (-0.63)	-0.0008 (-0.47)	-0.0007 (-0.48)	-0.0006 (-0.68)

It can be seen that significantly accurate prices are obtained by our swaption pricing formula under the CEV-Heston LMM in Table 4 and 5, while the prices under the Quadratic-Heston LMM in Table 6 and 7 are less accurate



than the ones under the CEV-Heston LMM. However, even when pricing deep in-the-money swaptions in Table 6 and 7, the approximation errors in terms of the implied volatilities are less than 1%. Therefore, the level of these errors seems acceptable in practice.

7 Conclusion

This paper proposed the LSV-LMM with affine-type stochastic volatility models combined with local volatility models, where all parameters in the LSV-LMM can be time-dependent. In particular, applying standard freezing techniques and an asymptotic expansion method, it provided a new analytic approximation formula for pricing swaptions under the model. To demonstrate effectiveness of our approach, the paper took CEV-Heston LMM and Quadratic-Heston LMM as examples and confirmed sufficient accuracies of our approach for calibration to a caplet market and numerical evaluation of swaptions under the models.

Our future research topics are as follows: First, in order to improve the accuracy of our current approximation formula, the higher order computational scheme of the asymptotic expansion developed by Takahashi, et al. (2009) has to be applied. Alternatively or at the same time, the full application of the asymptotic expansion might be necessary without freezing techniques. Second, for more accurate calibration, we may need to implement a pricing formula for swaptions under the LSV-LMM with a multi-dimensional stochastic volatility. Finally, in order to compute exotic interest rate derivatives and their Greeks, we have to develop efficient Monte Carlo simulation techniques.

Appendix

A Formulas for the conditional expectations of the Wiener-Itô integrals

This appendix summarizes conditional expectation formulas useful for explicit computation of the asymptotic expansions. In the following, $q_i \in L^2[0, T]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$. Also, $H_n(x; \Sigma)$ denotes the Hermite polynomial of degree n and $\Sigma = \int_0^T |q_{1t}|^2 dt$. For the derivation and more general results, see Section 3 in Takahashi, Takehara and Toda(2009).

1.

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T q_{2t} dW_t \mid \int_0^T q_{1v} dW_v = x \right] = \left(\int_0^T q_{2t} q_{1t} dt \right) \frac{H_1(x; \Sigma)}{\Sigma}$$

2.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_0^t q'_{2u} dW_u q'_{3t} dW_t \mid \int_0^T q_{1v} dW_v = x \right] \\ = \left(\int_0^T \int_0^t q'_{2u} q_{1u} du q'_{3t} q_{1t} dt \right) \frac{H_2(x; \Sigma)}{\Sigma^2} \end{aligned}$$

3.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T q'_{2u} dW_u \right) \left(\int_0^T q'_{3s} dW_s \right) \mid \int_0^T q_{1v} dW_v = x \right] \\ = \left(\int_0^T q'_{2u} q_{1u} du \right) \left(\int_0^T q'_{3s} q_{1s} ds \right) \frac{H_2(x; \Sigma)}{\Sigma^2} \\ + \int_0^T q'_{2t} q_{3t} dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 4. \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \int_0^t \int_0^s q'_{2u} dW_u q'_{3s} dW_s q'_{4t} dW_t \mid \int_0^T q_{1v} dW_v = x \right] \\ = \left(\int_0^T q'_{4t} q_{1t} \int_0^t q'_{3s} q_{1s} \int_0^s q'_{2u} q_{1u} du ds dt \right) \frac{H_3(x; \Sigma)}{\Sigma^3} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 5. \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \left(\int_0^t q'_{2u} dW_u \right) \left(\int_0^t q'_{3s} dW_s \right) q'_{4t} dW_t \mid \int_0^T q_{1v} dW_v = x \right] \\ = \left\{ \int_0^T \left(\int_0^t q'_{2u} q_{1u} du \right) \left(\int_0^t q'_{3s} q_{1s} ds \right) q'_{4t} q_{1t} dt \right\} \frac{H_3(x; \Sigma)}{\Sigma^3} \\ + \left(\int_0^T \int_0^t q'_{2u} q_{3u} du q'_{4t} q_{1t} dt \right) \frac{H_1(x; \Sigma)}{\Sigma} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 6. \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T \int_0^t q'_{2s} dW_s q'_{3t} dW_t \right) \left(\int_0^T \int_0^r q'_{4u} dW_u q'_{5r} dW_r \right) \mid \int_0^T q_{1v} dW_v = x \right] \\ = \left(\int_0^T q'_{3t} q_{1t} \int_0^t q'_{2s} q_{1s} ds dt \right) \left(\int_0^T q'_{5r} q_{1r} \int_0^r q'_{4u} q_{1u} du dr \right) \frac{H_4(x; \Sigma)}{\Sigma^4} \\ + \left\{ \int_0^T q'_{3t} q_{1t} \int_0^t q'_{5r} q_{1r} \int_0^r q'_{2u} q_{4u} du dr dt + \int_0^T q'_{5t} q_{1t} \int_0^t q'_{3r} q_{1r} \int_0^r q'_{2u} q_{4u} du dr dt \right. \\ + \int_0^T q'_{3t} q_{1t} \int_0^t q'_{2r} q_{5r} \int_0^r q'_{4u} q_{1u} du dr dt + \int_0^T q'_{3t} q_{5t} \left(\int_0^t q'_{2s} q_{1s} ds \right) \left(\int_0^t q'_{4u} q_{1u} du \right) dt \\ \left. + \int_0^T q'_{5r} q_{1r} \int_0^r q'_{3u} q_{4u} \int_0^u q'_{2s} q_{1s} ds du dr \right\} \frac{H_2(x; \Sigma)}{\Sigma^2} \\ + \int_0^T \int_0^t q'_{2u} q_{4u} du q'_{3t} q_{5t} dt \end{aligned}$$

Kenichiro Shiraya is a senior financial engineer at Mizuho-DL Financial Technology. He has worked at Mizuho Corporate Bank since 2002, and is currently on loan to Mizuho-DL Financial Technology. He is also a Ph.D. candidate at the Graduate School of Economics, University of Tokyo.

Akihiko Takahashi is a professor at University of Tokyo. After working for the Industrial Bank of Japan and Long Term Capital Management, he started as associate professor at the Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo and later joined the Graduate School of Economics in 2003. He received his Ph.D. from the Haas School of Business, University of California at Berkeley.

Akira Yamazaki is an associate professor at Hosei University in Tokyo. He was a senior financial engineer for seven years at Mizuho-DL Financial Technology and a fixed income trader for seven years at Bank of Tokyo-Mitsubishi. He was also a visiting researcher at the Institute for Monetary and Economic Studies, Bank of Japan in 2004. He received his Ph.D. from the Graduate School of Economics, University of Tokyo in 2012.

ENDNOTES

1. Hereafter, the drift terms μ_j^0 , $j = 1, 2, \dots$, will not appear explicitly due to the changes of numéraires.
2. This remark discusses about the multi-dimensional case that is the model described by the equations (28) and (29), because the same formula (74) is applied to the case.
3. The asymptotic expansion of $X_{a,b}(t)$ gives the simpler expression than that of $S_{a,b}(t)$.

4. For a caplet, the underlying forward rate's effective and terminal dates are given by T_a and T_{a+1} ($T \leq T_a$, $a \in \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$), respectively. Hence, setting $b = a + 1$ in the formula (74) provides the formula for the caplet.

5. In our calibration test, we calibrated the models to the cap market data solely, because suitable swaption data are not available in our circumstance.

6. They will be given upon request.

REFERENCES

- Andersen, L., and Andreasen, J. 2000. Volatility skews and extensions of the LIBOR market model. *Applied Mathematical Finance*, **7**(1):1–32.
- Andersen, L., and Brotherton-Ratcliffe, R. Extended LIBOR market models with stochastic volatility. Working Paper, 2001.
- Brace, A., Gatarek, D., and Musiela, M. 1997. The market model of interest rate dynamics. *Mathematical Finance*, **7**:127–154.
- Glasserman, P., and Kou, S. 2003. The term structure of simple forward rates with jump risk. *Mathematical Finance*, **13**(3):383–410.
- Hagan, P., and Lesniewski, A. LIBOR market model with SABR style stochastic volatility. Working Paper, 2008.
- Hagan, P., Kumar, D., Lesniewski, A., and Woodward, D. 2002. Managing smile risk. *Wilmott* September, 84–108.
- Heston, S. 1993. A closed-form solution for options with stochastic volatility with applications to bond and currency options. *Review of Financial Studies*, **6**:327–343.
- Ikeda, N. and Watanabe, S. *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes, Second Edition*. North-Holland/Kodansha, 1989.
- Jamshidian, F. 1997. Libor and swap market models and measures. *Finance and Stochastics*, **1**(4):293–330.
- Kunitomo, N., and Takahashi, A. 2003. On validity of the asymptotic expansion approach in contingent claim analysis. *Annals of Applied Probability*, **13**(3):914–952.
- Labordere, P. H. Unifying the BGM and SABR models: A short ride in hyperbolic geometry. Working Paper, 2007.
- Mercurio, F., and Morini, M. A Note on Correlation in Stochastic Volatility Term Structure Models. Working Paper, 2007.
- Mercurio, F., and Morini, M. 2009. Joining the SABR and Libor models together. *Risk* March, 80–85.
- Piterbarg, V. A stochastic volatility forward LIBOR model with a term structure of volatility smile. Working Paper, 2003.
- Rebonato, R. 2007. A time-homogeneous, SABR-consistent extension of the LMM. *Risk* November, 92–97.
- Rebonato, R., and White, R. Linking caplets and swaptions prices in the LMM-SABR model. Working Paper, 2007.
- Rebonato, R., McKay, K., and White, R. *The SABR/LIBOR Market Model: Pricing, Calibration and Hedging for Complex Interest-Rate Derivatives*. Wiley, 2009.
- Takahashi, A. 1999. An asymptotic expansion approach to pricing contingent claims. *Asia-Pacific Financial Markets*, **6**:115–151.
- Takahashi, A., Takehara, K., and Toda, M. Computation in an asymptotic expansion method. CARF Working Paper Series CARF-F-149, 2009.
- Wu, L., and Zhang, F. 2006. LIBOR market model with stochastic volatility. *Journal of Industrial and Management Optimization*, **2**(2):199–227.

Book Club

40%
DISCOUNT

For WILMOTT
subscribers*

Share our passion for great writing with Wiley's new list of titles for independent thinkers...
Visit Wiley.com/go/wilmott

Scenarios for Risk Management and Global Investment Strategies

Rachel E. S. Ziemba,
William T. Ziemba

Scenarios for Risk Management and Global Investment Strategies assesses the risks and challenges of modern investing, focusing on the importance of adapting and responding to changing investment climates. This book discusses the probabilities that various future events will occur and how these events and their probable occurrences influence investment decisions. Assessing all possible outcomes is fundamental to risk management, financial engineering and investment and hedge fund strategies. A careful consideration of future scenarios will lead to better investment decisions and help to avoid financial disasters. The book includes empirical studies of key markets and sectors and successful and disastrous investment results along with superior optimization strategies of the great investors. The book contains essential material for hedge fund managers, quants and traders, supplying them with the tools to build accurate investment scenarios and optimize returns.


Praise for *Scenarios for Risk Management and Global Investment Strategies*

"This unique team of father and daughter covers the most important areas of investing in depth. They tell the stories, perform the analyses (with just the right amount of math), and give us the macro and global insights. I can wholeheartedly recommend this fascinating and informative book."

—Paul Wilmott, Mathematician and author

"A treasure trove of stimulating and useful ideas about the theory and practice of investing."

—Edward O. Thorp, Edward O. Thorp & Associates



"This is a fascinating book, with log-optimality presented in an engaging style. It is a rare combination of solid theory and practical application of great value to anyone managing a portfolio with an analytic approach."

—David G. Luenberger, Professor of Management Science and Engineering, Stanford University

"A most effective combination of narrative case-studies and lucid exposition of the theory underpinning them. This book will speak to investors of all kinds and, what is more, it is an exceptionally enjoyable read even for the mathematically under-endowed."

—Fram Dinshaw, Finance Bursar, St Catherine's College, Oxford

About the Authors

Rachel E.S. Ziemba has a BA in History from the University of Chicago and an MPhil in International Relations from St. Antony's College, Oxford University. She worked for the Canadian International Development Agency in Egypt, and the International Development Research Centre, Ottawa. At Roubini Global Economics, she researches geo-strategic risks to the global economy. She has written and given talks on various issues in global macroeconomy, currency politics and emerging market and geostrategic issues.

William T. Ziemba is the Alumni Professor of Financial Modeling and Stochastic Optimization (Emeritus), University of British Columbia. He is a well-known academic with books, research articles, and talks on various investment topics and a columnist for Wilmott magazine. Ziemba has visited and lectured at MIT, University of Chicago, Berkeley, UCLA, Cambridge, LSE, Oxford, and the ICMA Centre. He trades through William T. Ziemba Investment Management Inc. He has consulted for various financial institutions including hedge funds, pension, and other investment institutions.

978-0-470-31924-6 • Cloth • 334 pages

November 2007 • £52.50 / €63.00 • **£31.50 / €37.80**

SAVE
40%

When you subscribe to Wilmott magazine you will automatically become a member of the Wilmott Book Club and you'll be eligible for 40 per cent discount on special selected books in each issue. The titles will range from finance to narrative non-fiction. For further information, call our Customer Service Department on +44 (0)1243 843294, email them at cs-book@wiley.co.uk or visit www.wiley.com/go/wilmott Wilmott Book Club titles must be paid for with personal funds and sent to a private address. They may not be resold or used as library copies.

Book Club

40%
DISCOUNT

For WILMOTT
subscribers*

Share our passion for great writing with Wiley's new list of titles for independent thinkers...
Visit Wiley.com/go/wilmott

Optimizing the Aging, Retirement, and Pensions Dilemma

Marida Bertocchi, Sandra L. Schwartz, William T. Ziemba

Planning for retirement is one of those issues that can be summarized in the observation that there is both good news and bad news: the good news is that we are living longer, the bad news is that we have to pay for it. As we recover from the worst economic crisis since the 1930s—with large losses in pensions, incomes, and savings—we find that old adages like “stocks for the long run” and the safety of index and exchange traded funds have not worked to protect asset values.


Ensuring sufficient resources for retirement encompasses a complex set of decisions involving tax issues, assumptions on future salaries and potential loss with change of jobs, asset allocation for defined contribution pension plans, longevity, interest rates, inflation, and, on retirement, whether to buy an annuity—all in the face of changing demographics and social factors. Each of these issues requires careful individual decision making in the face of increasing risk.

Written in a straightforward and accessible style, this book offers valuable advice on today's toughest retirement issues, and shows how government and corporate entities can help while assessing the risks to their own balance sheets. It also addresses some of the macroeconomic issues, asking whether an economy can effectively save without investing in productive assets.

Praise for *Optimizing the Aging, Retirement, and Pensions Dilemma*

“An impressive collection of ideas and information. This will be a valuable reference and resource for investors, advisors, and fiduciaries.”

— Edward O. Thorp, Edward O. Thorp & Associates, author of *Beat the Dealer* and *Beat the Market*



“Aging populations in developed nations raise many challenges, and capital markets will play a critical role in addressing them. This volume offers a coherent and concise introduction to the financial economic framework that will be vital for analyzing and ultimately resolving these challenges.”

— James Poterba, Mitsui Professor of Economics, MIT, and President, National Bureau of Economic Research

About the Authors

Marida Bertocchi is Professor of Portfolio Theory, University of Bergamo. She taught numerous courses at the Universities of Bergamo, Urbino and Milan, including basic and advanced calculus, mathematical finance, advanced mathematical finance, stochastic optimization, and parallel processing. Bertocchi has been Dean of the Faculty of Economics and Business Administration and is the Director of the Department of Mathematics, Statistics, Computer Science and Applications, University of Bergamo. She is the author of numerous publications on bond portfolio management, asset allocation, quantitative finance, and economic and financial applications.

Sandra L. Schwartz received her interdisciplinary PhD from the University of British Columbia in commerce, economics, and ecology. She has taught business policy, business and society, and topics in research and development and applied economics at Berkeley, UCLA, Tsukuba, UBC, and Simon Fraser. Schwartz designed programs and courses for the Open University of BC. She is the author of a number of books on energy policy, Japanese management and economy, and other topics, as well as numerous articles.

William T. Ziemba is the Alumni Professor of Financial Modeling and Stochastic Optimization (Emeritus), University of British Columbia. He is a well-known academic with books, research articles, and talks on various investment topics and a columnist for Wilmott magazine. Ziemba has visited and lectured at MIT, University of Chicago, Berkeley, UCLA, Cambridge, LSE, Oxford, and the ICMA Centre. He trades through William T. Ziemba Investment Management Inc. He has consulted for various financial institutions including hedge funds, pension, and other investment institutions.

978-0-470-37734-5 • Cloth • 411 pages
February 2010 • £50.00 / €60.00 ~~£30.00 / €36.00~~

SAVE
40%

When you subscribe to Wilmott magazine you will automatically become a member of the Wilmott Book Club and you'll be eligible for 40 per cent discount on special selected books in each issue. The titles will range from finance to narrative non-fiction. For further information, call our Customer Service Department on +44 (0)1243 843294, email them at cs-book@wiley.co.uk or visit www.wiley.com/go/wilmott Wilmott Book Club titles must be paid for with personal funds and sent to a private address. They may not be resold or used as library copies.