

# Defintion of Weak derivatives notes

Brian Krummel

November 5, 2012

Today we want to generalize the notion of derivative to the derivative of a function in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (Recall that  $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  if  $\|u\|_{L^1(\Omega')} < \infty$  for all  $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ .) First let's consider the derivative of a function  $u \in C^k(\Omega)$ . Let  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq k$ . By integration by parts

$$\int_{\Omega} D^{\alpha} u \zeta = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} u D^{\alpha} \zeta. \quad (1)$$

for all  $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . The left hand side of (1) is not defined if  $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ , but the right hand side of (1) is defined if  $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ . This leads to the following definition:

**Definition 1.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ , and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$  be a multi-index. The  $\alpha$ -th distributional derivative or weak derivative of  $u$  is a linear functional  $T : C_c^{\infty}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$T(\zeta) = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} u D^{\alpha} \zeta$$

for all  $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . We say  $v \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$  is the  $\alpha$ -th weak derivative of  $u$  if

$$T(\zeta) = \int_{\Omega} v \zeta$$

for all  $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ; that is,

$$\int_{\Omega} v \zeta = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int_{\Omega} u D^{\alpha} \zeta.$$

for all  $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Note that such a  $v$  is unique. We will also denote  $v$  by  $D^{\alpha} u$ .

*Example 1:* If  $u \in C^k(\Omega)$  and  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \leq k$ , as discussed above by integration by parts the  $\alpha$ -th weak derivative of  $u$  is just the standard derivative  $D^{\alpha} u$  of  $u$  defined using difference quotients. Thus weak derivatives generalize the classical notion of derivative.

*Example 2:* Suppose  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$  and

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} -t & \text{for } t \leq 0, \\ +t & \text{for } t \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $u$  has no classical derivative at  $t = 0$ . But

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(t) \zeta'(t) dt = \int_{-\infty}^0 -t \zeta'(t) dt + \int_0^{\infty} t \zeta'(t) dt = - \int_{-\infty}^0 -1 \cdot \zeta(t) dt - \int_0^{\infty} 1 \cdot \zeta(t) dt$$

for all  $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ , so the weak derivative  $v$  of  $u$  is

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } t < 0, \\ +1 & \text{for } t > 0, \end{cases}$$

and  $v \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ .

*Example 3:* Not every distributional derivative is in  $L_{\text{loc}}^1$ . Suppose  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$  and

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } t < 0, \\ 1 & \text{for } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(t)\zeta'(t)dt = \int_0^{\infty} \zeta'(t)dt = -\zeta(0)$$

for all  $\zeta \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ . We can regard the weak derivative of  $u$  to be the Borel measure  $\mu$  such that  $\delta(A) = 1$  if  $0 \in A$  and  $\delta(A) = 0$  if  $0 \notin A$  for every Borel set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t)\zeta'(t)dt = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta d\delta.$$

$\delta$  is not in  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ ! Recall that the space  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  of Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$  is a Frechet space with semi-norm

$$\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{M},I} = \mu(I)$$

for all finite Borel measures  $\mu$  and for all closed bounded intervals  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ . There is an embedding

$$E : L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}).$$

given by

$$E(f) = fd\mathcal{L}^1$$

for all  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathcal{L}^1$  is the Lebesgue measure, such that

$$\|f\|_{L^1(I)} = \|fd\mathcal{L}^1\|_{\mathcal{M},I}$$

for all  $f \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$  and for all closed bounded intervals  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ .  $E$  is not surjective, i.e. not every Borel measure is in  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ , since  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$  consists of precisely those Borel measures that are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $\mathcal{L}^1$ .  $\delta$  is not absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $\mathcal{L}^1$  and thus  $\delta$  is not in  $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$ .