

# Fixed Income Analysis

## Pricing Term-Structure Derivatives

The forward-risk adjusted measure  
European options on zero-coupon bonds  
European options on coupon bonds  
Interest-rate caps  
Forward and futures contracts  
Callable bonds  
Introduction to mortgage-backed securities

Jesper Lund

May 5, 1998

1

### Motivation

- Consider a fixed-income derivative with payoff  $V_T$  a time  $T$ .
- The price today ( $t = 0$ ) is given by

$$V_0 = E_0^Q \left[ e^{-\int_0^T r_s ds} V_T \right]. \quad (1)$$

- Problem: we are calculating the expectation of the product of two **dependent** random variables.
- In general, it is easier to calculate  $V_0$  using the so-called **forward-risk adjusted measure** technique.
- This means that  $V_0$  is given by

$$V_0 = P(0, T) \cdot E_0^{Q^T} (V_T), \quad (2)$$

where  $Q^T$  is a new probability measure.

- Basic idea: change probabilities of different events (again).

2

## Model setup

- The general results are derived for a one-factor HJM model.
- Risk-neutral forward-rate dynamics:

$$df(t, T) = -\sigma(t, T)\sigma_P(t, T)dt + \sigma(t, T)dW_t^Q, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\sigma_P(t, T) = -\int_t^T \sigma(t, u)du. \quad (4)$$

- Bond prices evolve according to the SDE

$$dP(t, T) = r_t P(t, T)dt + \sigma_P(t, T)P(t, T)dW_t^Q. \quad (5)$$

- Thus,  $\sigma_P(t, T)$  is the time  $t$  volatility of the zero-coupon bond maturing at time  $T$ .

3

## Forward-risk adjusted measure – 1

- The price of the derivative at time  $t$  is denoted  $V_t$ .
- Under the risk-neutral distribution we have

$$dV_t = r_t V_t dt + \sigma_V(t) V_t dW_t^Q. \quad (6)$$

- Note: we do not know  $V_t$  or  $\sigma_V(t)$ , but the only important thing right now is the **form** of the SDE (6).
- Define the relative (deflated) price of the derivative

$$F_t \equiv V_t / P(t, T), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T]. \quad (7)$$

- SDE for  $F_t$  can be obtained from Ito's lemma

$$dF_t = \sigma_P(\sigma_P - \sigma_V)F_t dt + (\sigma_V - \sigma_P)F_t dW_t^Q \quad (8)$$

- Shorthand notation:  $\sigma_P \equiv \sigma_P(t, T)$  and  $\sigma_V \equiv \sigma_V(t)$ .

4

## Forward-risk adjusted measure – 2

- Define a new probability measure, denoted  $Q^T$ , such that

$$W_t^{Q^T} = W_t^Q - \int_0^t \sigma_P(u, T) du, \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (9)$$

is a Brownian motion under  $Q^T$ .

- The differential form of (9) is

$$dW_t^{Q^T} = dW_t^Q - \sigma_P(t, T) dt. \quad (10)$$

- If we substitute (9) into the SDE for  $F_t$ , we obtain the process for  $F_t$  under the forward-risk adjusted measure,

$$\begin{aligned} dF_t &= -\sigma_P(\sigma_V - \sigma_P)F_t dt + (\sigma_V - \sigma_P)F_t \left( dW_t^{Q^T} + \sigma_P dt \right) \\ &= (\sigma_V - \sigma_P)F_t dW_t^{Q^T}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

5

## Forward-risk adjusted measure – 3

- **Key result:** under the new measure (distribution)  $Q^T$ , the relative price  $F_t$  is a martingale — since the drift is zero.
- Step 1: because of the martingale property, we have

$$F_t = E_t^{Q^T}(F_T), \quad \text{for } t \leq T. \quad (12)$$

- Step 2: since  $P(T, T) = 1$ , we get  $F_T = V_T$ .
- Step 3: the time  $t = 0$  price can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= P(0, T)F_0 = P(0, T)E_0^{Q^T}(F_T) \\ &= P(0, T)E_0^{Q^T}(V_T). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

- Only remaining problem: determine distribution of payoff under the forward-risk adjusted measure,  $Q^T$ .

6

## Forward-risk adjusted measure – 4

- Forward-rate dynamics under the new measure  $Q^T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} df(t, T) &= -\sigma(t, T)\sigma_P(t, T)dt + \sigma(t, T) \left( dW_t^{Q^T} + \sigma_P(t, T)dt \right) \\ &= \sigma(t, T)dW_t^{Q^T}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

- Thus, the  $T$ -maturity forward rate is a martingale under  $Q^T$ .
- Integrating (14) from  $t = 0$  to  $t = T$ ,

$$f(T, T) = f(0, T) + \int_0^T \sigma(t, T)dW_t^{Q^T} \quad (15)$$

- Since the expectation of the second term in (15) is zero, and since  $f(T, T) = r_T$ , we get

$$f(0, T) = E_0^{Q^T} (r_T). \quad (16)$$

7

## Options on zero-coupon bonds – 1

- Notation:

$K$  exercise price of the call option.

$T$  maturity of the call option.

$T_1$  maturity of the underlying zero-coupon bond.

$C(T, T_1, K)$  price of the call option at time  $t = 0$ .

- For concreteness, we use the extended Vasicek model which corresponds to the HJM model with

$$\sigma(t, T) = \sigma e^{-\kappa(T-t)} \quad (17)$$

$$\sigma_P(t, T) = \sigma \frac{e^{-\kappa(T-t)} - 1}{\kappa}. \quad (18)$$

- The following results apply to any Gaussian HJM model, however.

8

## Options on zero-coupon bonds – 2

- The price of the option is given by:

$$C(T, T_1, K) = P(0, T) E_0^{Q^T} \left[ \max \{P(T, T_1) - K, 0\} \right]. \quad (19)$$

- In order to calculate this expectation, we must determine the distribution of  $P(T, T_1)$  under  $Q^T$ .
- Since  $P(T, T) = 1$ , the distribution of  $P(T, T_1)$  can be obtained from the relative price

$$F(t, T, T_1) = P(t, T_1)/P(t, T). \quad (20)$$

- Note that (20) is the forward price of the  $T_1$ -maturity bond.
- SDE under  $Q^T$  for  $F(t, T, T_1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} dF(t, T, T_1) &= \{\sigma_P(t, T_1) - \sigma_P(t, T)\} F(t, T, T_1) dW_t^{Q^T} \\ &\equiv \sigma_F(t, T, T_1) F(t, T, T_1) dW_t^{Q^T}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

9

## Options on zero-coupon bonds – 3

- An application of Ito's lemma gives:

$$d \log F(t, T, T_1) = -\frac{1}{2} \sigma_F^2(t, T, T_1) dt + \sigma_F(t, T, T_1) dW_t^{Q^T}. \quad (22)$$

- For the extended Vasicek model:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_F(t, T, T_1) &= \frac{\sigma}{\kappa} \left( e^{-\kappa(T_1 - t)} - e^{-\kappa(T - t)} \right) \\ &= \frac{\sigma}{\kappa} e^{-\kappa(T - t)} \left( e^{-\kappa(T_1 - T)} - 1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

- It follows from (22) and (23) that  $\log P(T, T_1) = \log F(T, T, T_1)$  is normally distributed.
- The mean of  $\log P(T, T_1)$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_F(T, T_1) &= \log F(0, T, T_1) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \sigma_F^2(t, T, T_1) dt \\ &= \log F(0, T, T_1) - \frac{1}{2} \omega_F^2(T, T_1). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

## Options on zero-coupon bonds – 4

- The variance of  $\log P(T, T_1)$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_F^2(T, T_1) &= \int_0^T \sigma_F^2(t, T, T_1) dt \\ &= \left( \frac{e^{-\kappa(T_1 - T)} - 1}{\kappa} \right)^2 \times \left( \sigma^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2\kappa T}}{2\kappa} \right)\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

- After some lengthy algebra, the price of the call follows:

$$C(T, T_1, K) = P(0, T_1)N(d_1) - P(0, T)KN(d_2) \quad (26)$$

$$d_1 = \left( \log \frac{P(0, T_1)}{P(0, T)} - \log K + \frac{1}{2}\omega_F^2 \right) / \omega_F \quad (27)$$

$$d_2 = d_1 - \omega_F. \quad (28)$$

- This is the Black-Scholes formula with a different variance ...

11

## Options on coupon bonds – 1

- Coupon bond with payments  $\{a_j\}$  at times  $T_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq M$ .
- The price is at time  $t$  is

$$P_a(t; r_t) = \sum_{j=1}^M a_j \cdot P(t, T_j; r_t) \quad (29)$$

- In the extended Vasicek model, all bond prices depend on  $r_t$ .
- The price of a call option expiring at time  $T < T_1$  (date of the first payment) can be written as:

$$C_a(T, K) = P(0, T) \cdot E_0^{Q^T} \left( \sum_{j=1}^M a_j \cdot P(T, T_j; r_T) - K \right)^+ \quad (30)$$

- However, we are no longer taking the (truncated) expectation over a log-normal random variate.

12

## Options on coupon bonds – 2

- We use the Jamshidian decomposition.
- Define  $r^*$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^M a_j \cdot P(T, T_j; r^*) - K = 0 \quad (31)$$

- If  $P(T, T_j; r)$  is **monotonic** in  $r$  (for all maturities), we can show that:

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^M a_j \cdot P(T, T_j; r_T) - K \right)^+ = \sum_{j=1}^M a_j \left( P(T, T_j; r_T) - K_j \right)^+ \quad (32)$$

where  $K_j = P(T, T_j; r^*)$ .

- This follows from monotonicity since  $\sum_{j=1}^M a_j P(t, T_j; r) > K$ , corresponding to  $r < r^*$ , implies  $P(t, T_j; r) > K_j$  for each  $j$ .

13

## Options on coupon bonds – 3

- Interpretation: an option on a portfolio of payments,  $\{a_j\}$ , is equivalent to a portfolio of options.
- This means that the price of an option on a coupon bond is given by the expression:

$$C_a(T, K) = \sum_{j=1}^M a_j \cdot C(T, T_j, K_j) \quad (33)$$

- This holds for the following models:
  - Vasicek model — derived by Jamshidian (1989)
  - CIR model — derived by Longstaff (1993)
- The result does **not** generalize to multi-factor models, such as the Gaussian double-decay model.

14

## At-the-money interest-rate caps

- Consider a derivative (ATM cap) with payoff

$$V_T = \max(r_T - f(0, T), 0). \quad (34)$$

- Under the forward-risk adjusted measure:

$$r_T - f(0, T) = \int_0^T \sigma(t, T) dW_t^{Q^T}. \quad (35)$$

- Under the extended Vasicek model, the RHS of (35) is normally distributed with mean zero and variance

$$v^2(0, T) = \int_0^T \sigma^2(t, T) dt = \sigma^2 \frac{1 - e^{-2\kappa T}}{2\kappa}. \quad (36)$$

- The time  $t = 0$  price of the ATM cap is:

$$C(T, f(0, T)) = P(0, T) E_0^{Q^T}(V_T) = P(0, T) \frac{v(0, T)}{\sqrt{2\pi}}. \quad (37)$$

15

## Forward and futures contracts

- Agreement to deliver a financial asset on a future date  $t$  for a price which is fixed today (but paid upon delivery).
- There are no payments when entering into the contract — the **initial** value of the forward or futures contract is always zero.
- Difference between forward and futures contract: the latter is continuously marked to market to ensure zero value.
- Pricing:** consider a forward and future on a  $T$ -maturity zero.

$$F_{\text{for}}(t, T) = P(0, T)/P(0, t) \quad (38)$$

$$F_{\text{fut}}(t, T) = E_0^Q[P(t, T)]. \quad (39)$$

- See chapter 14 in Tuckman for proofs and further discussion.
- When the underlying asset is a bond, futures prices are (generally) **below** forward prices.

16



## Pricing a two-year callable bond – 1

- Binomial tree for the short rate with annual time steps,  $\theta(n, s) = 0.5$ , discrete compounding. The tree is calibrated to match a flat initial term-structure of 10 percent.

$$10.00 \begin{cases} 11.11 \\ 8.91 \end{cases}$$

- A two-year non-callable bullet with a 10 percent coupon is trading at par. To see this, calculate the bond price using the tree

$$100.00 \begin{cases} 109.00 = 10 + 110/1.1111 & (101.00) \\ 111.00 = 10 + 110/1.0891 & (99.00) \end{cases}$$

17

## Pricing a two-year callable bond – 2

- Does the price change if the borrower is **allowed** (but not obligated) to pay back the entire principal after one year?
- In other words: what is the price of a two-year callable bond?
- After one year, the borrower can choose between continuing the fixed-rate loan (bullet) with a 10% interest rate, or calling the bullet and borrowing at the new short rate,  $r(1, s)$ .
- If  $r(1, s)$  is below 10%, the **rational** borrower will call the bond.
- Price of the callable bond follows from the tree:

$$99.55 \begin{cases} 109.00 = 10 + 110/1.1111 \\ 110.00 = 10 + \mathbf{100.00} \end{cases}$$

- The price (premium) of the call feature is 0.45 cents.

18

## Callable bonds in a multi-period setting

- The callable bond contains an **embedded** option to purchase the “otherwise identical” non-callable bond at par.
- Option payoff:  $C(1, 0) = 1$  and  $C(1, 1) = 0$ , which means that the price is  $C(0, 0) = 0.5 \cdot [C(1, 0) + C(1, 1)]/1.10 = \mathbf{0.45}$ .
- We always have:  $P_C(n, s) = P_{NC}(n, s) - C(n, s)$ .
- In a multi-period setting, the call feature is an **American option**.
- Description of the **optimal** call strategy:
  - Let  $K(n, s)$  denote the call price (could be greater than par).
  - Let  $P_C^H(n, s)$  denote the price of the callable bond if it is **not** called at the node  $(n, s)$ .  
Note:  $P_C^H(n, s)$  is calculated using the short-rate tree and the backward equation.
  - The optimal strategy is to call the bond if  $P_C^H(n, s) > K(n, s)$ .

19

## Mortgage-backed bonds

- Most mortgage-backed bonds (MBBs) in Denmark are callable.
- The “otherwise identical” non-callable bond is annuity bond (sum of interest and principal payments are constant over time).
- Can we use the previous techniques to price the MBB?
- **No** – MBB’s are different in several respects:
  - Empirical evidence shows that not all borrowers prepay at the same time.
  - Possible explanation: transaction costs which differ across different borrowers (borrower heterogeneity).
- Instead, we will price the MBB using the so-called **prepayment function** (with an more or less *ad hoc* specification).
- This function is defined as the fraction of remaining borrowers who prepay on a given node in the tree.

20