Course evaluation at the Department of Mathematics and Physics at the University of Mälardalen

Course:	MT1370 Numerical methods with MATLAB
Academic Year:	2003/2004 Period: 2
Program:	Analytical Finance (Anfiv2)
Lecturer:	Anatoliy Malyarenko
Number of Evaluations:	(of a total of) Date:
Evaluator:	Anatoliy Malyarenko

1. My previous knowledge was:

very weak	weak	enough	good	very good

2. The 44 lecture hours were:

too few	enough	too many

3. The number h of hours I worked outside the lectures per week during the course:

$h \leq 8$	$8 < h \leq 16$	$16 < h \leq 24$	$24 < h \leq 32$	$h > 32$

4. The temp of the course was:

too slow	appropriate	too fast

5. The student's guide was:

very bad	not good	good	very good

6. The student's presentations in the beginning of the lecture were:

very bad	not good	good	very good

7. Attending the student's presentation (*when not participating actively*) was:

a waste of time	not rewarding	rewarding	very rewarding

8. Preparing for and participating actively in the student's presentations helped me in my learning process:

a waste of time	not rewarding	rewarding	very rewarding

9. The teacher's presentations in the end of the lectures were:

very bad	not good	good	very good

10. The time the teacher spent at the white board was:

too little	enough	too much

11. The organisation of students in small groups and working in these groups were:

very bad	not good	good	very good

12. Working with the folder helped me in my learning process:

not at all	not much	much	very much

13. The continuous examination of the folder worked:

very bad	not well	well	very well

14. While working with the seminar reports I learned:

2

nothing	not much	much	very much

15. The part of the course constituted by the seminars was:

very bad	not good	good	very good

16. My over-all judgement of the course is that it was:

very bad	not good	good	very good

My own comments