

**III**

# **Black-Scholes**

**Tomas Björk**

## Typical Setup

Take as given the market price process,  $S(t)$ , of some underlying asset.

$S(t)$  = price, at  $t$ , per unit of underlying asset

Consider a fixed **financial derivative**, e.g. a European call option.

**Main Problem:** Find the arbitrage free price of the derivative.

# European Call Option

The holder of this paper has the right

to buy

**1 IBM**

on the date

**June 30, 2004**

at the price

**\$90**

# Financial Derivative

- A financial asset which is defined **in terms of** some **underlying** asset.
- Future stochastic claim.

## Main problems

- What is a “reasonable” price for a derivative?
- How do you hedge yourself against a derivative.

# Philosophy

- The derivative is **defined in terms of** underlying.
- The derivative can be **priced in terms of** underlying price.
- **Consistent** pricing.
- **Relative** pricing.

# Portfolios

Consider a market with  $N$  assets.

$S_i(t)$  = price at  $t$ , of asset No  $i$ .

**Portfolio:** Consider a portfolio strategy

$$h(t) = [h^1(t), \dots, h^N(t)]$$

$h^i(t)$  = number of units of asset  $i$ , in the portfolio at  $t$

$V(t)$  = market value of the portfolio  $h$  at  $t$

$$V(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N h^i(t) S_i(t)$$

**Typically:** The portfolio is of the form

$$h(t) = h(t, S_t)$$

i.e. today's portfolio is based on today's prices.

## Self financing portfolios

We want to study self financing portfolio strategies, i.e. portfolios where purchase of a “new” asset must be financed through sale of an “old” asset.

How is this formalized?

### Definition:

The strategy  $h$  is **self financing** if

$$dV(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N h^i(t) dS_i(t)$$

Interpret!



## Relative weights

$u^i(t)$  = the relative share of the portfolio value, which is invested in asset No  $i$ .

$$u^i(t) = \frac{h^i(t)S_i(t)}{V(t)}$$

$$dV(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N h^i(t)dS_i(t)$$

Substitute!

$$dV = V \sum_{i=1}^N u^i \frac{dS_i}{S_i}$$

## Back to Financial Derivatives

Consider e.g. the Black-Scholes model

$$\begin{aligned}dS &= \alpha S dt + \sigma S dW, \\dB &= r B dt.\end{aligned}$$

We want to price a European call with strike price  $K$  and exercise time  $T$ . This is a stochastic claim on the future. The future pay-out (at  $T$ ) is a stochastic variable,  $X$ , given by

$$X = \max[S_T - K, 0]$$

More general:

$$X = \Phi(S_T)$$

**Main problem:** What is a ‘reasonable’ price,  $\Pi[t; X]$ , for  $X$  at  $t$ ?

## Main Idea

- We demand **consistent** pricing between derivative and underlying.
- No **mispricing** between derivative and underlying.
- No **arbitrage possibilities** on the market  $(B, S, \Pi)$

# Arbitrage

The portfolio  $h$  is an **arbitrage** portfolio if

- The portfolio strategy is self financing.
- $V(0) = 0$ .
- $V(T) > 0$  with probability one.

**Moral:**

- **Arbitrage = Free Lunch**
- **Arbitrage = Serious Mispricing**
- **No arbitrage possibilities in an efficient market.**

## Arbitrage test

Suppose that  $h$  is self financing portfolio with portfolio dynamics

$$dV(t) = kV(t)dt$$

- No driving Wiener process
- Deterministic rate of return.
- “Synthetic bank” with rate of return  $k$ .

If the market is free of arbitrage we must have:

$$k = r$$

## Main Ideas

- Since the derivative is defined in terms of the underlying, the derivative price should be highly correlated with the underlying price .
- We should be able to balance derivative against underlying in our portfolio, so as to cancel the randomness.
- Thus we will obtain a riskless rate of return  $k$  on our portfolio.
- Absence of arbitrage must imply

$$k = r$$

## Formalized program

- Assume that the derivative price is of the form

$$\Pi [t; X] = F(t, S_t).$$

- Form a portfolio based on underlying  $S$  and derivative  $F$ , with portfolio dynamics

$$dV = V \left\{ u^S \cdot \frac{dS}{S} + u^F \cdot \frac{dF}{F} \right\}$$

- Choose  $u^S$  and  $u^F$  such that the  $dW$ -term is wiped out. This gives us

$$dV = V \cdot k dt$$

- Absence of arbitrage implies

$$k = r$$

- This relation will say something about  $F$ .

# Black-Scholes Analysis

## Assumptions:

- The stock price is Geometric Brownian Motion
- Continuous trading.
- Frictionless efficient market.
- Short positions are allowed.  
Unlimited credit.
- Constant volatility  $\sigma$ .
- Constant short rate of interest  $r$ .  
Flat yield curve.



## Back to Black-Scholes:

We assumed

$$\Pi [t; X] = F(t, S_t)$$

$$dS = \alpha S dt + \sigma S dW$$

Itô's formula gives us the portfolio dynamics

$$dF = \left\{ \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \alpha S \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} S^2 \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2} \right\} dt + \sigma S \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} dW$$

Write this as

$$dF = \alpha_F \cdot F dt + \sigma_F \cdot F dW$$

where

$$\alpha_F = \frac{\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \alpha S \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} S^2 \sigma^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2}}{F}$$

$$\sigma_F = \frac{\sigma S \frac{\partial F}{\partial s}}{F}$$

## Portfolio dynamics:

$$\begin{aligned}dV &= V \left\{ u^S \cdot \frac{dS}{S} + u^F \cdot \frac{dF}{F} \right\} \\ &= V \left\{ u^S (\alpha dt + \sigma dW) + u^F (\alpha_F dt + \sigma_F dW) \right\} \\ dV &= V \left\{ u^S \alpha + u^F \alpha_F \right\} dt + V \left\{ u^S \sigma + u^F \sigma_F \right\} dW\end{aligned}$$

Now we kill the  $dW$ -term!

Choose  $(u^S, u^F)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}u^S \sigma + u^F \sigma_F &= 0 \\ u^S + u^F &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Linear system with solution

$$\begin{aligned}u^S &= \frac{\sigma_F}{\sigma_F - \sigma} \\ u^F &= \frac{-\sigma}{\sigma_F - \sigma}\end{aligned}$$

Plug into  $dV$ !

We obtain

$$dV = V \{u^S \alpha + u^F \alpha_F\} dt$$

“Synthetic bank” with short rate

$$\{u^S \alpha + u^F \alpha_F\}$$

.

Absence of arbitrage implies

$$\{u^S \alpha + u^F \alpha_F\} = r$$

Plug in the expressions for  $u^S$ ,  $u^F$ ,  $\alpha_F$  and simplify!

## Black-Schole's PDE

$$\Pi [t; X] = F (t, S_t)$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + r s \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 s^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2} - r F = 0, \\ F(T, s) = \Phi(s). \end{array} \right.$$

## Data needed

- The contract function  $\Phi$ .
- Today's date  $t$ .
- Today's stock price  $S$ .
- Short rate  $r$ .
- Volatility  $\sigma$ .

**Note:** The pricing formula does **not** involve the mean rate of return  $\alpha$ !

??

# “Risk neutral valuation”

Applying Feynman-Kač to the Black-Scholes PDE we obtain

$$\Pi [t; X] = e^{-r(T-t)} E_{t,s}^Q [X]$$

$Q$ -dynamics:

$$\begin{cases} dS = rSdt + \sigma SdW, \\ dB = rBdt. \end{cases}$$

- Price = Expected discounted value of future payments.
- The expectation shall **not** be taken under the “objective” probability measure  $P$ , but under the “risk adjusted” measure (“martingale measure”)  $Q$ .

Note:  $P \sim Q$

## Concrete formulas for numerical treatment

$$\Pi [0; \Phi] = e^{-rT} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(se^z) f(z) dz$$

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{[z - (r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)T]^2}{2\sigma^2 T} \right\}$$

# Black-Schole's formula

## European Call

$T$ =date of expiration,  
 $t$ =today's date,  $K$ =strike price,  
 $r$ =short rate,  
 $s$ =today's stock price,  
 $\sigma$ =volatility.

$$F(t, s) = sN[d_1] - e^{-r(T-t)}KN[d_2].$$

$N[\cdot]$ =cdf for  $N(0, 1)$ -distribution.

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \left\{ \ln\left(\frac{s}{K}\right) + \left(r + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\right)(T-t) \right\},$$

$$d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T-t}.$$



# Interpretation of the risk adjusted measure

- **Assume** a risk neutral world.
- Then the following must hold

$$s = S_0 = e^{-rt} E [S_t]$$

- In our model this means that

$$dS = rSdt + \sigma SdW$$

- The risk adjusted probabilities can be interpreted as probabilities in a fictitious risk neutral economy.

# Moral

- When we compute prices, we can compute **as if** we live in a risk neutral world.
- This does **not** mean that we live (or think that we live) in a risk neutral world.
- The formulas above hold regardless of the investor's attitude to risk, as long as he/she prefers more to less.
- The valuation formulas are therefore called "preference free valuation formulas" .

# Properties of $Q$

- $P \sim Q$

- For the price process  $\pi$  of any traded asset, derivative or underlying, the process

$$Z_\pi(t) = \frac{\pi(t)}{B(t)}$$

is a  $Q$ -martingale.

- Under  $Q$ , the price process  $\pi$  of any traded asset, derivative or underlying, has  $r$  as its local rate of return:

$$d\pi_t = r\pi_t dt + \sigma_\pi \pi_t dW_t$$

- The volatility of  $\pi$  is the same under  $Q$  as under  $P$ .

# Martingale Measures

Consider a market, under an objective probability measure  $P$ , with underlying assets

$$B, S_1, \dots, S_N$$

**Definition:** A probability measure  $Q$  is called a **martingale measure** if

- $P \sim Q$
- For every  $i$ , the process

$$Z_i(t) = \frac{S_i(t)}{B(t)}$$

is a  $Q$ -martingale.

**Theorem:** The market is arbitrage free **iff** there exists a martingale measure.

# Estimating Volatility

- Historical estimation.
- Implied volatility.