

## Chapter 34

# Brace-Gatarek-Musiela model

### 34.1 Review of HJM under risk-neutral $\mathbb{P}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, T) &= \text{Forward rate at time } t \text{ for borrowing at time } T. \\ df(t, T) &= \sigma(t, T) \sigma^*(t, T) dt + \sigma(t, T) dW(t), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma^*(t, T) = \int_t^T \sigma(t, u) du$$

The interest rate is  $r(t) = f(t, t)$ . The bond prices

$$\begin{aligned} B(t, T) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left\{ - \int_t^T r(u) du \right\} \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= \exp \left\{ - \int_t^T f(t, u) du \right\} \end{aligned}$$

satisfy

$$dB(t, T) = r(t) B(t, T) dt - \underbrace{\sigma^*(t, T)}_{\text{volatility of } T\text{-maturity bond}} B(t, T) dW(t).$$

To implement HJM, you specify a function

$$\sigma(t, T), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

A simple choice we would like to use is

$$\sigma(t, T) = \sigma f(t, T)$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  is the constant “volatility of the forward rate”. This is not possible because it leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^*(t, T) &= \sigma \int_t^T f(t, u) du, \\ df(t, T) &= \sigma^2 f(t, T) \left( \int_t^T f(t, u) du \right) dt + \sigma f(t, T) dW(t), \end{aligned}$$

and Heath, Jarrow and Morton show that solutions to this equation explode before  $T$ .

The problem with the above equation is that the  $dt$  term grows like the square of the forward rate. To see what problem this causes, consider the similar deterministic ordinary differential equation

$$f'(t) = f^2(t),$$

where  $f(0) = c > 0$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f'(t)}{f^2(t)} &= 1, \\ -\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{f(t)} &= 1, \\ -\frac{1}{f(t)} + \frac{1}{f(0)} &= \int_0^t 1 \, du = t \\ -\frac{1}{f(t)} &= t - \frac{1}{f(0)} = t - 1/c = \frac{ct - 1}{c}, \\ f(t) &= \frac{c}{1 - ct}. \end{aligned}$$

This solution explodes at  $t = 1/c$ .

## 34.2 Brace-Gatarek-Musiela model

New variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Current time } t \\ \text{Time to maturity } \tau = T - t. \end{aligned}$$

Forward rates:

$$r(t, \tau) = f(t, t + \tau), \quad r(t, 0) = f(t, t) = r(t), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} r(t, \tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} f(t, t + \tau) \quad (2.2)$$

Bond prices:

$$\begin{aligned} D(t, \tau) &= B(t, t + \tau) \\ &= \exp \left\{ - \int_t^{t+\tau} f(t, v) \, dv \right\} \\ (u = v - t; \, du = dv) : &= \exp \left\{ - \int_0^\tau f(t, t + u) \, du \right\} \\ &= \exp \left\{ - \int_0^\tau r(t, u) \, du \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} D(t, \tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} B(t, t + \tau) = -r(t, \tau) D(t, \tau). \quad (2.4)$$

We will now write  $\sigma(t, \tau) = \sigma(t, T - t)$  rather than  $\sigma(t, T)$ . In this notation, the HJM model is

$$df(t, T) = \sigma(t, \tau)\sigma^*(t, \tau) dt + \sigma(t, \tau) dW(t), \quad (2.5)$$

$$dB(t, T) = r(t)B(t, T) dt - \sigma^*(t, \tau)B(t, T) dW(t), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\sigma^*(t, \tau) = \int_0^\tau \sigma(t, u) du, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \sigma^*(t, \tau) = \sigma(t, \tau). \quad (2.8)$$

We now derive the differentials of  $r(t, \tau)$  and  $D(t, \tau)$ , analogous to (2.5) and (2.6). We have

$$\begin{aligned} dr(t, \tau) &= \underbrace{df(t, t + \tau)}_{\text{differential applies only to first argument}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T} f(t, t + \tau) dt \\ &\stackrel{(2.5), (2.2)}{=} \sigma(t, \tau)\sigma^*(t, \tau) dt + \sigma(t, \tau) dW(t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} r(t, \tau) dt \\ &\stackrel{(2.8)}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left[ r(t, \tau) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^*(t, \tau))^2 \right] dt + \sigma(t, \tau) dW(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} dD(t, \tau) &= \underbrace{dB(t, t + \tau)}_{\text{differential applies only to first argument}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial T} B(t, t + \tau) dt \\ &\stackrel{(2.6), (2.4)}{=} r(t) B(t, t + \tau) dt - \sigma^*(t, \tau)B(t, t + \tau) dW(t) - r(t, \tau)D(t, \tau) dt \\ &\stackrel{(2.1)}{=} [r(t, 0) - r(t, \tau)]D(t, \tau) dt - \sigma^*(t, \tau)D(t, \tau) dW(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

### 34.3 LIBOR

Fix  $\delta > 0$  (say,  $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$  year). \$  $D(t, \delta)$  invested at time  $t$  in a  $(t + \delta)$ -maturity bond grows to \$ 1 at time  $t + \delta$ .  $L(t, 0)$  is defined to be the corresponding rate of simple interest:

$$\begin{aligned} D(t, \delta)(1 + \delta L(t, 0)) &= 1, \\ 1 + \delta L(t, 0) &= \frac{1}{D(t, \delta)} = \exp \left\{ \int_0^\delta r(t, u) du \right\}, \\ L(t, 0) &= \frac{\exp \left\{ \int_0^\delta r(t, u) du \right\} - 1}{\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

### 34.4 Forward LIBOR

$\delta > 0$  is still fixed. At time  $t$ , agree to invest \$  $\frac{D(t, \tau + \delta)}{D(t, \tau)}$  at time  $t + \tau$ , with payback of \$1 at time  $t + \tau + \delta$ . Can do this at time  $t$  by shorting  $\frac{D(t, \tau + \delta)}{D(t, \tau)}$  bonds maturing at time  $t + \tau$  and going long one bond maturing at time  $t + \tau + \delta$ . The value of this portfolio at time  $t$  is

$$-\frac{D(t, \tau + \delta)}{D(t, \tau)}D(t, \tau) + D(t, \tau + \delta) = 0.$$

The *forward LIBOR*  $L(t, \tau)$  is defined to be the simple (forward) interest rate for this investment:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D(t, \tau + \delta)}{D(t, \tau)} (1 + \delta L(t, \tau)) &= 1, \\ 1 + \delta L(t, \tau) &= \frac{D(t, \tau)}{D(t, \tau + \delta)} = \frac{\exp \left\{ - \int_0^\tau r(t, u) du \right\}}{\exp \left\{ - \int_0^{\tau + \delta} r(t, u) du \right\}} \\ &= \exp \left\{ \int_\tau^{\tau + \delta} r(t, u) du \right\}, \\ L(t, \tau) &= \frac{\exp \left\{ \int_\tau^{\tau + \delta} r(t, u) du \right\} - 1}{\delta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Connection with forward rates:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} \exp \left\{ \int_\tau^{\tau + \delta} r(t, u) du \right\} \Big|_{\delta=0} &= r(t, \tau + \delta) \exp \left\{ \int_\tau^{\tau + \delta} r(t, u) du \right\} \Big|_{\delta=0} \\ &= r(t, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, t + \tau) = r(t, \tau) &= \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \frac{\exp \left\{ \int_\tau^{\tau + \delta} r(t, u) du \right\} - 1}{\delta} \\ L(t, \tau) &= \frac{\exp \left\{ \int_\tau^{\tau + \delta} r(t, u) du \right\} - 1}{\delta}, \quad \delta > 0 \text{ fixed.} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

$r(t, \tau)$  is the continuously compounded rate.  $L(t, \tau)$  is the simple rate over a period of duration  $\delta$ .

We cannot have a log-normal model for  $r(t, \tau)$  because solutions explode as we saw in Section 34.1. For fixed positive  $\delta$ , we *can* have a log-normal model for  $L(t, \tau)$ .

### 34.5 The dynamics of $L(t, \tau)$

We want to choose  $\sigma(t, \tau)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\tau \geq 0$ , appearing in (2.5) so that

$$dL(t, \tau) = (\dots) dt + L(t, \tau) \gamma(t, \tau) dW(t)$$

for some  $\gamma(t, \tau)$ ,  $t \geq 0, \tau \geq 0$ . This is the BGM model, and is a subclass of HJM models, corresponding to particular choices of  $\sigma(t, \tau)$ .

Recall (2.9):

$$dr(t, \tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ r(t, u) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^*(t, u))^2 \right] dt + \sigma(t, u) dW(t).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} d \left( \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} r(t, u) du \right) &= \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} dr(t, u) du \\ &= \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left[ r(t, u) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^*(t, u))^2 \right] du dt + \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} \sigma(t, u) du dW(t) \\ &= \left[ r(t, \tau + \delta) - r(t, \tau) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta))^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^*(t, \tau))^2 \right] dt \\ &\quad + [\sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta) - \sigma^*(t, \tau)] dW(t) \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} dL(t, \tau) &\stackrel{(4.1)}{=} d \left[ \frac{\exp \left\{ \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} r(t, u) du \right\} - 1}{\delta} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} r(t, u) du \right\} d \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} r(t, u) du \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\delta} \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} r(t, u) du \right\} \left( d \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} r(t, u) du \right)^2 \\ &\stackrel{(4.1), (5.1)}{=} \frac{1}{\delta} [1 + \delta L(t, \tau)] \times \\ &\quad \times \left\{ [r(t, \tau + \delta) - r(t, \tau) + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta))^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^*(t, \tau))^2] dt \right. \\ &\quad \quad + [\sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta) - \sigma^*(t, \tau)] dW(t) \\ &\quad \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}[\sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta) - \sigma^*(t, \tau)]^2 dt \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} [1 + \delta L(t, \tau)] \left\{ [r(t, \tau + \delta) - r(t, \tau)] dt \right. \\ &\quad \quad + \sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta)[\sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta) - \sigma^*(t, \tau)] dt \\ &\quad \quad \left. + [\sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta) - \sigma^*(t, \tau)] dW(t) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} L(t, \tau) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left[ \frac{\exp \left\{ \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} r(t, u) du \right\} - 1}{\delta} \right] \\ &= \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\delta} r(t, u) du \right\} \cdot [r(t, \tau + \delta) - r(t, \delta)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\delta} [1 + \delta L(t, \tau)] [r(t, \tau + \delta) - r(t, \delta)].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$dL(t, \tau) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} L(t, \tau) dt + \frac{1}{\delta} [1 + \delta L(t, \tau)] [\sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta) - \sigma^*(t, \tau)] \cdot [\sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta) dt + dW(t)].$$

Take  $\gamma(t, \tau)$  to be given by

$$\gamma(t, \tau) L(t, \tau) = \frac{1}{\delta} [1 + \delta L(t, \tau)] [\sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta) - \sigma^*(t, \tau)]. \quad (5.3)$$

Then

$$dL(t, \tau) = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} L(t, \tau) + \gamma(t, \tau) L(t, \tau) \sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta) \right] dt + \gamma(t, \tau) L(t, \tau) dW(t). \quad (5.4)$$

Note that (5.3) is equivalent to

$$\sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta) = \sigma^*(t, \tau) + \frac{\delta L(t, \tau) \gamma(t, \tau)}{1 + \delta L(t, \tau)}. \quad (5.3')$$

Plugging this into (5.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}dL(t, \tau) &= \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} L(t, \tau) + \gamma(t, \tau) L(t, \tau) \sigma^*(t, \tau) + \frac{\delta L^2(t, \tau) \gamma^2(t, \tau)}{1 + \delta L(t, \tau)} \right] dt \\ &\quad + \gamma(t, \tau) L(t, \tau) dW(t). \quad (5.4')\end{aligned}$$

## 34.6 Implementation of BGM

Obtain the initial *forward LIBOR curve*

$$L(0, \tau), \quad \tau \geq 0,$$

from market data. Choose a *forward LIBOR volatility function* (usually nonrandom)

$$\gamma(t, \tau), \quad t \geq 0, \tau \geq 0.$$

Because LIBOR gives no rate information on time periods smaller than  $\delta$ , we must also choose a *partial bond volatility function*

$$\sigma^*(t, \tau), \quad t \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \tau < \delta$$

for maturities less than  $\delta$  from the current time variable  $t$ .

With these functions, we can for each  $\tau \in [0, \delta)$  solve (5.4') to obtain

$$L(t, \tau), \quad t \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \tau < \delta.$$

Plugging the solution into (5.3'), we obtain  $\sigma^*(t, \tau)$  for  $\delta \leq \tau < 2\delta$ . We then solve (5.4') to obtain

$$L(t, \tau), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \delta \leq \tau < 2\delta,$$

and we continue recursively.

**Remark 34.1** BGM is a special case of HJM with HJM's  $\sigma^*(t, \tau)$  generated recursively by (5.3'). In BGM,  $\gamma(t, \tau)$  is usually taken to be nonrandom; the resulting  $\sigma^*(t, \tau)$  is random.

**Remark 34.2** (5.4) (equivalently, (5.4')) is a stochastic *partial* differential equation because of the  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} L(t, \tau)$  term. This is not as terrible as it first appears. Returning to the HJM variables  $t$  and  $T$ , set

$$K(t, T) = L(t, T - t).$$

Then

$$dK(t, T) = dL(t, T - t) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} L(t, T - t) dt$$

and (5.4) and (5.4') become

$$\begin{aligned} dK(t, T) &= \gamma(t, T - t)K(t, T) [\sigma^*(t, T - t + \delta) dt + dW(t)] \\ &= \gamma(t, T - t)K(t, T) \left[ \sigma^*(t, T - t) dt + \frac{\delta K(t, T) \gamma(t, T - t)}{1 + \delta K(t, T)} dt + dW(t) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

**Remark 34.3** From (5.3) we have

$$\gamma(t, \tau)L(t, \tau) = [1 + \delta L(t, \tau)] \frac{\sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta) - \sigma^*(t, \tau)}{\delta}.$$

If we let  $\delta \downarrow 0$ , then

$$\gamma(t, \tau)L(t, \tau) \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta} \sigma^*(t, \tau + \delta) \Big|_{\delta=0} = \sigma(t, \tau),$$

and so

$$\gamma(t, T - t)K(t, T) \rightarrow \sigma(t, T - t).$$

We saw before (eq. 4.2) that as  $\delta \downarrow 0$ ,

$$L(t, \tau) \rightarrow r(t, \tau) = f(t, t + \tau),$$

so

$$K(t, T) \rightarrow f(t, T).$$

Therefore, the limit as  $\delta \downarrow 0$  of (6.1) is given by equation (2.5):

$$df(t, T) = \sigma(t, T - t) [\sigma^*(t, T - t) dt + dW(t)].$$

**Remark 34.4** Although the  $dt$  term in (6.1) has the term  $\frac{\delta \gamma^2(t, T-t) K^2(t, T)}{1+K(t, T)}$  involving  $K^2$ , solutions to this equation do not explode because

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \gamma^2(t, T-t) K^2(t, T)}{1 + \delta K(t, T)} &\leq \frac{\delta \gamma^2(t, T-t) K^2(t, T)}{\delta K(t, T)} \\ &\leq \gamma^2(t, T-t) K(t, T). \end{aligned}$$

### 34.7 Bond prices

Let  $\beta(t) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t r(u) du \right\}$ . From (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d \left( \frac{B(t, T)}{\beta(t)} \right) &= \frac{1}{\beta(t)} [-r(t) B(t, T) dt + dB(t, T)] \\ &= -\frac{B(t, T)}{\beta(t)} \sigma^*(t, T-t) dW(t). \end{aligned}$$

The solution  $\frac{B(t, T)}{\beta(t)}$  to this stochastic differential equation is given by

$$\frac{B(t, T)}{\beta(t) B(0, T)} = \exp \left\{ -\int_0^t \sigma^*(u, T-u) dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (\sigma^*(u, T-u))^2 du \right\}.$$

This is a martingale, and we can use it to switch to the *forward measure*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_T(A) &= \frac{1}{B(0, T)} \int_A \frac{1}{\beta(T)} d\mathbb{P} \\ &= \int_A \frac{B(T, T)}{\beta(T) B(0, T)} d\mathbb{P} \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Girsanov's Theorem implies that

$$W_T(t) = W(t) + \int_0^t \sigma^*(u, T-u) du, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

is a Brownian motion under  $\mathbb{P}_T$ .

### 34.8 Forward LIBOR under more forward measure

From (6.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} dK(t, T) &= \gamma(t, T-t)K(t, T) [\sigma^*(t, T-t+\delta) dt + dW(t)] \\ &= \gamma(t, T-t)K(t, T) dW_{T+\delta}(t), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$K(t, T) = K(0, T) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \gamma(u, T-u) dW_{T+\delta}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \gamma^2(u, T-u) du \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K(T, T) &= K(0, T) \exp \left\{ \int_0^T \gamma(u, T-u) dW_{T+\delta}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \gamma^2(u, T-u) du \right\} \\ &= K(t, T) \exp \left\{ \int_t^T \gamma(u, T-u) dW_{T+\delta}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \gamma^2(u, T-u) du \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.1)$$

We assume that  $\gamma$  is nonrandom. Then

$$X(t) = \int_t^T \gamma(u, T-u) dW_{T+\delta}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \gamma^2(u, T-u) du \quad (8.2)$$

is normal with variance

$$\rho^2(t) = \int_t^T \gamma^2(u, T-u) du$$

and mean  $-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2(t)$ .

### 34.9 Pricing an interest rate caplet

Consider a floating rate interest payment settled in arrears. At time  $T + \delta$ , the floating rate interest payment due is  $\delta L(T, 0) = \delta K(T, T)$ , the LIBOR at time  $T$ . A caplet protects its owner by requiring him to pay only the cap  $\delta c$  if  $\delta K(T, T) > \delta c$ . Thus, the value of the caplet at time  $T + \delta$  is  $\delta(K(T, T) - c)^+$ . We determine its value at times  $0 \leq t \leq T + \delta$ .

**Case I:**  $T \leq t \leq T + \delta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} C_{T+\delta}(t) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\beta(t)}{\beta(T+\delta)} \delta(K(T, T) - c)^+ \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= \delta(K(T, T) - c)^+ \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\beta(t)}{\beta(T+\delta)} \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= \delta(K(T, T) - c)^+ B(t, T + \delta). \end{aligned} \quad (9.1)$$

**Case II:**  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

Recall that

$$\mathbb{P}_{T+\delta}(A) = \int_A Z(T+\delta) d\mathbb{P}, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}(T+\delta),$$

where

$$Z(t) = \frac{B(t, T+\delta)}{\beta(t)B(0, T+\delta)}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{T+\delta}(t) &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\beta(t)}{\beta(T+\delta)} \delta(K(T, T) - c)^+ \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= \delta B(t, T+\delta) \underbrace{\frac{\beta(t)B(0, T+\delta)}{B(t, T+\delta)}}_{\frac{1}{Z(t)}} \mathbb{E} \left[ \underbrace{\frac{B(T+\delta, T+\delta)}{\beta(T+\delta)B(0, T+\delta)}}_{Z(T+\delta)} (K(T, T) - c)^+ \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= \delta B(t, T+\delta) \mathbb{E}_{T+\delta} \left[ (K(T, T) - c)^+ \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

From (8.1) and (8.2) we have

$$K(T, T) = K(t, T) \exp\{X(t)\},$$

where  $X(t)$  is normal under  $\mathbb{P}_{T+\delta}$  with variance  $\rho^2(t) = \int_t^T \gamma^2(u, T-u) du$  and mean  $-\frac{1}{2}\rho^2(t)$ . Furthermore,  $X(t)$  is independent of  $\mathcal{F}(t)$ .

$$C_{T+\delta}(t) = \delta B(t, T+\delta) \mathbb{E}_{T+\delta} \left[ (K(t, T) \exp\{X(t)\} - c)^+ \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right].$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} g(y) &= \mathbb{E}_{T+\delta} \left[ (y \exp\{X(t)\} - c)^+ \right] \\ &= y N \left( \frac{1}{\rho(t)} \log \frac{y}{c} + \frac{1}{2}\rho(t) \right) - c N \left( \frac{1}{\rho(t)} \log \frac{y}{c} - \frac{1}{2}\rho(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$C_{T+\delta}(t) = \delta B(t, T+\delta) g(K(t, T)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T - \delta. \quad (9.2)$$

In the case of constant  $\gamma$ , we have

$$\rho(t) = \gamma\sqrt{T-t},$$

and (9.2) is called the *Black caplet formula*.

### 34.10 Pricing an interest rate cap

Let

$$T_0 = 0, T_1 = \delta, T_2 = 2\delta, \dots, T_n = n\delta.$$

A cap is a series of payments

$$\delta(K(T_k, T_k) - c)^+ \quad \text{at time } T_{k+1}, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

The value at time  $t$  of the cap is the value of all remaining caplets, i.e.,

$$C(t) = \sum_{k:t \leq T_k} C_{T_k}(t).$$

### 34.11 Calibration of BGM

The interest rate caplet  $c$  on  $L(0, T)$  at time  $T + \delta$  has time-zero value

$$C_{T+\delta}(0) = \delta B(0, T + \delta) g(K(0, T)),$$

where  $g$  (defined in the last section) depends on

$$\int_0^T \gamma^2(u, T - u) du.$$

Let us suppose  $\gamma$  is a deterministic function of its second argument, i.e.,

$$\gamma(t, \tau) = \gamma(\tau).$$

Then  $g$  depends on

$$\int_0^T \gamma^2(T - u) du = \int_0^T \gamma^2(v) dv.$$

If we know the caplet price  $C_{T+\delta}(0)$ , we can “back out” the squared volatility  $\int_0^T \gamma^2(v) dv$ . If we know caplet prices

$$C_{T_0+\delta}(0), C_{T_1+\delta}(0), \dots, C_{T_n+\delta}(0),$$

where  $T_0 < T_1 < \dots < T_n$ , we can “back out”

$$\int_0^{T_0} \gamma^2(v) dv, \int_{T_0}^{T_1} \gamma^2(v) dv = \int_0^{T_1} \gamma^2(v) dv - \int_0^{T_0} \gamma^2(v) dv, \dots, \int_{T_{n-1}}^{T_n} \gamma^2(v) dv. \quad (11.1)$$

In this case, we may assume that  $\gamma$  is constant on each of the intervals

$$(0, T_0), (T_0, T_1), \dots, (T_{n-1}, T_n),$$

and choose these constants to make the above integrals have the values implied by the caplet prices.

If we know caplet prices  $C_{T+\delta}(0)$  for all  $T \geq 0$ , we can “back out”  $\int_0^T \gamma^2(v) dv$  and then differentiate to discover  $\gamma^2(\tau)$  and  $\gamma(\tau) = \sqrt{\gamma^2(\tau)}$  for all  $\tau \geq 0$ .

To implement BGM, we need both  $\gamma(\tau)$ ,  $\tau \geq 0$ , and

$$\sigma^*(t, \tau), \quad t \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \tau < \delta.$$

Now  $\sigma^*(t, \tau)$  is the volatility at time  $t$  of a zero coupon bond maturing at time  $t + \tau$  (see (2.6)). Since  $\delta$  is small (say  $\frac{1}{4}$  year), and  $0 \leq \tau < \delta$ , it is reasonable to set

$$\sigma^*(t, \tau) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \tau < \delta.$$

We can now solve (or simulate) to get

$$L(t, \tau), \quad t \geq 0, \tau \geq 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$K(t, T), \quad t \geq 0, T \geq 0,$$

using the recursive procedure outlined at the start of Section 34.6.

## 34.12 Long rates

The long rate is determined by long maturity bond prices. Let  $n$  be a large fixed positive integer, so that  $n\delta$  is 20 or 30 years. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{D(t, n\delta)} &= \exp \left\{ \int_0^{n\delta} r(t, u) du \right\} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n \exp \left\{ \int_{(k-1)\delta}^{k\delta} r(t, u) du \right\} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n [1 + \delta L(t, (k-1)\delta)], \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (4.1). The long rate is

$$\frac{1}{n\delta} \log \frac{1}{D(t, n\delta)} = \frac{1}{n\delta} \sum_{k=1}^n \log[1 + \delta L(t, (k-1)\delta)].$$

## 34.13 Pricing a swap

Let  $T_0 \geq 0$  be given, and set

$$T_1 = T_0 + \delta, \quad T_2 = T_0 + 2\delta, \quad \dots, \quad T_n = T_0 + n\delta.$$

The swap is the series of payments

$$\delta(L(T_k, 0) - c) \quad \text{at time } T_{k+1}, k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

For  $0 \leq t \leq T_0$ , the value of the swap is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\beta(t)}{\beta(T_{k+1})} \delta(L(T_k, 0) - c) \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right].$$

Now

$$1 + \delta L(T_k, 0) = \frac{1}{B(T_k, T_{k+1})},$$

so

$$L(T_k, 0) = \frac{1}{\delta} \left[ \frac{1}{B(T_k, T_{k+1})} - 1 \right].$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\beta(t)}{\beta(T_{k+1})} \delta(L(T_k, 0) - c) \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\beta(t)}{\beta(T_{k+1})} \left( \frac{1}{B(T_k, T_{k+1})} - 1 - \delta c \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\beta(t)}{\beta(T_k) B(T_k, T_{k+1})} \underbrace{\mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\beta(T_k)}{\beta(T_{k+1})} \middle| \mathcal{F}(T_k) \right]}_{B(T_k, T_{k+1})} \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right] - (1 + \delta c) B(t, T_{k+1}) \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\beta(t)}{\beta(T_{k+1})} \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right] - (1 + \delta c) B(t, T_{k+1}) \\ &= B(t, T_k) - (1 + \delta c) B(t, T_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

The value of the swap at time  $t$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{\beta(t)}{\beta(T_{k+1})} \delta(L(T_k, 0) - c) \middle| \mathcal{F}(t) \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [B(t, T_k) - (1 + \delta c) B(t, T_{k+1})] \\ &= B(t, T_0) - (1 + \delta c) B(t, T_1) + B(t, T_1) - (1 + \delta c) B(t, T_2) + \dots + B(t, T_{n-1}) - (1 + \delta c) B(t, T_n) \\ &= B(t, T_0) - \delta c B(t, T_1) - \delta c B(t, T_2) - \dots - \delta c B(t, T_n) - B(t, T_n). \end{aligned}$$

The forward swap rate  $w_{T_0}(t)$  at time  $t$  for maturity  $T_0$  is the value of  $c$  which makes the time- $t$  value of the swap equal to zero:

$$w_{T_0}(t) = \frac{B(t, T_0) - B(t, T_n)}{\delta [B(t, T_1) + \dots + B(t, T_n)]}.$$

In contrast to the cap formula, which depends on the term structure model and requires estimation of  $\gamma$ , the swap formula is generic.