Chapter 24

An outside barrier option

Barrier process:

$$
\frac{dY(t)}{Y(t)} = \lambda \, dt + \sigma_1 \, dB_1(t)
$$

Stock process:

$$
\frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} = \mu \, dt + \rho \sigma_2 \, dB_1(t) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \, \sigma_2 \, dB_2(t),
$$

where $\sigma_1 > 0$, $\sigma_2 > 0$, $-1 < \rho < 1$, and B_1 and B_2 are independent Brownian motions on some $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. The option pays off:

$$
(S(T) - K)^+ \mathbf{1}_{\{Y^*(T) < L\}}
$$

at time T , where

$$
0 < S(0) < K, \quad 0 < Y(0) < L,
$$
\n
$$
Y^*(T) = \max_{0 \le t \le T} Y(t).
$$

Remark 24.1 The option payoff depends on both the Y and S processes. In order to hedge it, we will need the money market and two other assets, which we take to be Y and S . The risk-neutral measure must make the discounted value of every traded asset be a martingale, which in this case means the discounted Y and S processes.

We want to find θ_1 and θ_2 and define

$$
d\widetilde{B}_1 = \theta_1 dt + dB_1, \quad d\widetilde{B}_2 = \theta_2 dt + dB_2,
$$

so that

$$
\frac{dY}{Y} = r dt + \sigma_1 d\tilde{B}_1
$$

= $r dt + \sigma_1 \theta_1 dt + \sigma_1 dB_1$,

$$
\frac{dS}{S} = r dt + \rho \sigma_2 d\tilde{B}_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sigma_2 d\tilde{B}_2
$$

= $r dt + \rho \sigma_2 \theta_1 dt + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sigma_2 \theta_2 dt$
+ $\rho \sigma_2 dB_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sigma_2 dB_2$.

We must have

$$
\lambda = r + \sigma_1 \theta_1,\tag{0.1}
$$

$$
\mu = r + \rho \sigma_2 \theta_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sigma_2 \theta_2. \tag{0.2}
$$

We solve to get

$$
\theta_1 = \frac{\lambda - r}{\sigma_1},
$$

\n
$$
\theta_2 = \frac{\mu - r - \rho \sigma_2 \theta_1}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sigma_2}.
$$

We shall see that the formulas for θ_1 and θ_2 do not matter. What matters is that (0.1) and (0.2) uniquely determine θ_1 and θ_2 . This implies the existence and uniqueness of the risk-neutral measure. We define

$$
Z(T) = \exp \left\{-\theta_1 B_1(T) - \theta_2 B_2(T) - \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2)T\right\},\
$$

$$
\widetilde{P}(A) = \int_A Z(T) dP, \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}.
$$

Under \mathbb{P} , B_1 and B_2 are independent Brownian motions (Girsanov's Theorem). \mathbb{P} is the unique risk-neutral measure.

Remark 24.2 Under both P and P , Y has volatility σ_1 , S has volatility σ_2 and

$$
\frac{dY}{YS} = \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 dt,
$$

i.e., the correlation between $\frac{dY}{Y}$ and $\frac{dS}{S}$ is ρ .

The value of the option at time zero is

$$
v(0, S(0), Y(0)) = \widetilde{E}\left[e^{-rT}(S(T) - K)^{+}1_{\{Y^{*}(T) < L\}}\right].
$$

We need to work out a density which permits us to compute the right-hand side.

240

Recall that the *barrier process* is

$$
\frac{dY}{Y} = r \, dt + \sigma_1 \, d\widetilde{B}_1,
$$

so

$$
Y(t) = Y(0) \exp \left\{ rt + \sigma_1 \widetilde{B}_1(t) - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2 t \right\}.
$$

Set

$$
\hat{\theta} = r/\sigma_1 - \sigma_1/2,
$$

$$
\hat{B}(t) = \hat{\theta}t + \tilde{B}_1(t),
$$

$$
\widehat{M}(T) = \max_{0 \le t \le T} \hat{B}(t).
$$

Then

$$
Y(t) = Y(0) \exp{\{\sigma_1 \hat{B}(t)\}},
$$

$$
Y^*(T) = Y(0) \exp{\{\sigma_1 \hat{M}(T)\}}.
$$

The joint density of $B(T)$ and $M(T)$, appearing in Chapter 20, is

 \overline{a}

$$
\widetilde{P}\{\widehat{B}(T)\in d\widehat{b}, \widehat{M}(T)\in d\widehat{m}\}
$$
\n
$$
=\frac{2(2\widehat{m}-\widehat{b})}{T\sqrt{2\pi T}}\exp\left\{-\frac{(2\widehat{m}-\widehat{b})^2}{2T}+\widehat{\theta}\widehat{b}-\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\theta}^2T\right\}\ d\widehat{b}\ d\widehat{m},
$$
\n
$$
\widehat{m}>0, \widehat{b}<\widehat{m}.
$$

The stock process.

$$
\frac{dS}{S} = r dt + \rho \sigma_2 d\tilde{B}_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sigma_2 d\tilde{B}_2,
$$

so

$$
S(T) = S(0) \exp\{rT + \rho \sigma_2 \tilde{B}_1(T) - \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 \sigma_2^2 T + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sigma_2 \tilde{B}_2(T) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \rho^2) \sigma_2^2 T\}
$$

= $S(0) \exp\{rT - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2 T + \rho \sigma_2 \tilde{B}_1(T) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sigma_2 \tilde{B}_2(T)\}$

From the above paragraph we have

$$
\widetilde{B}_1(T) = -\widehat{\theta}T + \widehat{B}(T),
$$

so

$$
S(T) = S(0) \exp\{rT + \rho \sigma_2 \hat{B}(T) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2 T - \rho \sigma_2 \hat{\theta}T + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sigma_2 \tilde{B}_2(T)\}
$$

24.1 Computing the option value

$$
v(0, S(0), Y(0)) = \widetilde{E}\left[e^{-rT}(S(T) - K)^{+}1_{\{Y^{*}(T) < L\}}\right]
$$
\n
$$
= e^{-rT}\widetilde{E}\left[\left(S(0)\exp\left\{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{2}^{2} - \rho\sigma_{2}\widehat{\theta})T + \rho\sigma_{2}\widehat{B}(T) + \sqrt{1 - \rho^{2}}\sigma_{2}\widetilde{B}_{2}(T)\right\} - K\right)^{+}
$$
\n
$$
\cdot 1_{\{Y(0)\exp[\sigma_{1}\widehat{M}(T)] < L\}}\right]
$$

We know the joint density of $(B(T), M(T))$. The density of $B_2(T)$ is

$$
\widetilde{I\!\!P} \{\widetilde{B}_2(T) \in d\widetilde{b}\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\widetilde{b}^2}{2T}\right\} d\widetilde{b}, \quad \widetilde{b} \in I\!\!R.
$$

Furthermore, the pair of random variables $(B(T), M(T))$ is *independent* of $B_2(T)$ because B_1 and B_2 are independent under $I\!\!P$. Therefore, the joint density of the random vector $(B_2(T), B(T), M(T))$ is

$$
\widetilde{I\!\!P} \{ \widetilde{B}_2(T) \in d\widetilde{b}, \widehat{B}(T) \in d\widehat{b}, \widehat{M}(T) \in d\widehat{m}, \} = \widetilde{I\!\!P} \{ \widetilde{B}_2(T) \in d\widetilde{b} \}. \widetilde{I\!\!P} \{ \widehat{B}(T) \in d\widehat{b}, \widehat{M}(T) \in d\widehat{m} \}
$$

The option value at time zero is

$$
v(0, S(0), Y(0))
$$

\n
$$
= e^{-rT} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{\sigma_1} \log \frac{L}{Y(0)}} \int_{-\infty}^{\hat{m}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(S(0) \exp \left\{ (r - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_2^2 - \rho \sigma_2 \hat{\theta}) T + \rho \sigma_2 \hat{b} + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sigma_2 \hat{b} \right\} - K \right)^{+}
$$

\n
$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi T}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\tilde{b}^2}{2T} \right\}
$$

\n
$$
\frac{2(2\hat{m} - \hat{b})}{T\sqrt{2\pi T}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(2\hat{m} - \hat{b})^2}{2T} + \hat{\theta}\hat{b} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{\theta}^2 T \right\}
$$

\n
$$
\frac{d\tilde{b} d\hat{b} d\hat{m}.
$$

The answer depends on $T, S(0)$ and $Y(0)$. It also depends on $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \rho, r, K$ and L. It does not depend on λ, μ, θ_1 , nor θ_2 . The parameter θ appearing in the answer is $\theta = \frac{r}{\sigma_1} - \frac{\sigma_1}{2}$.

Remark 24.3 If we had not regarded Y as a traded asset, then we would not have tried to set its mean return equal to r. We would have had only one equation (see Eqs (0.1) , (0.2))

$$
\mu = r + \rho \sigma_2 \theta_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sigma_2 \theta_2 \tag{1.1}
$$

to determine θ_1 and θ_2 . The nonuniqueness of the solution alerts us that some options cannot be hedged. Indeed, any option whose payoff depends on Y cannot be hedged when we are allowed to trade only in the stock.

242

If we have an option whose payoff depends only on S , then Y is superfluous. Returning to the original equation for S,

$$
\frac{dS}{S} = \mu \, dt + \rho \sigma_2 \, dB_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \, \sigma_2 \, dB_2.
$$

we should set

$$
dW = \rho \ dB_1 + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} dB_2,
$$

so W is a Brownian motion under IP (Levy's theorem), and

$$
\frac{dS}{S} = \mu \, dt + \sigma_2 dW.
$$

Now we have only Brownian motion, there will be only one θ , namely,

$$
\theta = \frac{\mu - r}{\sigma_2},
$$

so with $d\widetilde{W} = \theta dt + dW$, we have

$$
\frac{dS}{S} = r \, dt + \sigma_2 \, d\widetilde{W},
$$

and we are on our way.

24.2 The PDE for the outside barrier option

Returning to the case of the option with payoff

$$
(S(T) - K)^+ \mathbf{1}_{\{Y^*(T) < L\}},
$$

we obtain a formula for

$$
v(t,x,y) = e^{-r(T-t)} \widetilde{E}^{t,x,y} \left[(S(T) - K)^+ \mathbf{1}_{\{\max_{t \le u \le T} Y(u) < L\}}, \right]
$$

by replacing T, $S(0)$ and $Y(0)$ by $T - t$, x and y respectively in the formula for $v(0, S(0), Y(0))$. Now start at time 0 at $S(0)$ and $Y(0)$. Using the Markov property, we can show that the stochastic process

$$
e^{-rt}v(t, S(t), Y(t))
$$

is a martingale under \widetilde{P} . We compute

$$
d\left[e^{-rt}v(t, S(t), Y(t))\right]
$$

= $e^{-rt}\left[\left(-rv + v_t + rSv_x + rYv_y + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2S^2v_{xx} + \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2SVv_{xy} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2Y^2v_{yy}\right) dt$
+ $\rho\sigma_2Sv_x d\tilde{B}_1 + \sqrt{1-\rho^2}\sigma_2Sv_x d\tilde{B}_2 + \sigma_1Yv_y d\tilde{B}_1\right]$

Figure 24.1: *Boundary conditions for barrier option. Note that* $t \in [0, T]$ *is fixed.*

Setting the dt term equal to 0, we obtain the PDE

$$
-rv + v_t + rxv_x + ryv_y + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^2 x^2 v_{xx} + \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 xyv_{xy} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1^2 y^2 v_{yy} = 0, 0 \le t < T, \quad x \ge 0, \quad 0 \le y \le L.
$$

The terminal condition is

$$
v(T, x, y) = (x - K)^+, \quad x \ge 0, \ 0 \le y < L
$$

and the boundary conditions are

$$
v(t, 0, 0) = 0, \quad 0 \le t \le T,
$$

$$
v(t, x, L) = 0, \quad 0 \le t \le T, \quad x \ge 0.
$$

Т

24.3 The hedge

After setting the dt term to 0, we have the equation

$$
d\left[e^{-rt}v(t, S(t), Y(t))\right]
$$

= $e^{-rt}\left[\rho\sigma_2 Sv_x d\tilde{B}_1 + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \sigma_2 Sv_x d\tilde{B}_2 + \sigma_1 Yv_y d\tilde{B}_1\right],$

where $v_x = v_x(t, S(t), Y(t))$, $v_y = v_y(t, S(t), Y(t))$, and B_1, B_2, S, Y are functions of t. Note that

$$
d\left[e^{-rt}S(t)\right] = e^{-rt}\left[-rS(t) dt + dS(t)\right]
$$

\n
$$
= e^{-rt}\left[\rho\sigma_2 S(t) d\tilde{B}_1(t) + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \sigma_2 S(t) d\tilde{B}_2(t)\right].
$$

\n
$$
d\left[e^{-rt}Y(t)\right] = e^{-rt}\left[-rY(t) dt + dY(t)\right]
$$

\n
$$
= e^{-rt}\sigma_1 Y(t) d\tilde{B}_1(t).
$$

Therefore,

$$
d\left[e^{-rt}v(t, S(t), Y(t))\right] = v_x d[e^{-rt}S] + v_y d[e^{-rt}Y].
$$

Let $\Delta_2(t)$ denote the number of shares of stock held at time t, and let $\Delta_1(t)$ denote the number of "shares" of the barrier process Y. The value $X(t)$ of the portfolio has the differential

$$
dX = \Delta_2 dS + \Delta_1 dY + r[X - \Delta_2 S - \Delta_1 Y] dt.
$$

This is equivalent to

$$
d[e^{-rt}X(t)] = \Delta_2(t)d[e^{-rt}S(t)] + \Delta_1(t)d[e^{-rt}Y(t)].
$$

To get $X(t) = v(t, S(t), Y(t))$ for all t, we must have

$$
X(0) = v(0, S(0), Y(0))
$$

and

$$
\Delta_2(t) = v_x(t, S(t), Y(t)),
$$

$$
\Delta_1(t) = v_y(t, S(t), Y(t)).
$$

246